



# Taxonomic review of the New World tamarins (Primates: Callitrichidae)

ANTHONY B. RYLANDS<sup>1,\*</sup>, ECKHARD W. HEYMANN<sup>2</sup>, JESSICA LYNCH ALFARO<sup>3</sup>, JANET C. BUCKNER<sup>4</sup>, CHRISTIAN ROOS<sup>5</sup>, CHRISTIAN MATAUSCHEK<sup>2</sup>, JEAN P. BOUBLI<sup>6</sup>, RICARDO SAMPAIO<sup>7</sup> and RUSSELL A. MITTERMEIER<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Conservation International, Arlington, VA, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology, German Primate Center, Leibniz Institute for Primate Research, Göttingen, Germany

<sup>3</sup>Institute for Society and Genetics and Department of Anthropology, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

<sup>4</sup>Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA <sup>5</sup>Gene Bank of Primates and Primate Genetics Laboratory, German Primate Center, Leibniz Institute for Primate Research, Göttingen, Germany

<sup>6</sup>School of Environment and Life Sciences, University of Salford, Manchester, UK
 <sup>7</sup>National Research Center for Carnivore Conservation (CENAP/ICMBio), Atibaia, SP, Brazil

Received 2 June 2015; revised 17 November 2015; accepted for publication 26 November 2015

Twelve generic names have been ascribed to the New World tamarins but all are currently placed in just one: Saguinus Hoffmannsegg, 1807. Based on geographical distributions, morphology, and pelage patterns and coloration, they have been divided into six species groups: (1) nigricollis, (2) mystax, (3) midas, (4) inustus, (5) bicolor and (6) oedipus. Molecular phylogenetic studies have validated five of these groups; each are distinct clades. Saguinus inustus is embedded in the mystax group. Genetic studies show that tamarins are sister to all other callitrichids, diverging 15–13 Ma. The small-bodied nigricollis group diverged from the remaining, larger tamarins 11–8 Ma, and the mystax group diverged 7–6 Ma; these radiations are older than those of the marmosets (Callithrix, Cebuella, Mico), which began to diversify 6–5 Ma. The oedipus group diverged from the midas and bicolor groups 5–4 Ma. We review recent taxonomic changes and summarize the history of the generic names. Taking into account the Late Miocene divergence time (11–8 Ma) between the large- and smallbodied tamarin lineages, the small size of the nigricollis group species when compared with other tamarins, and the sympatry of the nigricollis group species with the larger mystax group species, we argue that the nigricollis group be recognized as a distinct genus: Leontocebus Wagner, 1839.

© 2016 The Linnean Society of London, Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 2016

ADDITIONAL KEYWORDS: Leontocebus - marmosets - phylogenetics - Platyrrhini - Saguinus.

#### INTRODUCTION

Tamarins, *Saguinus* Hoffmannsegg, 1807, are small (358–575 g; Smith & Jungers, 1997), diurnal, arboreal, frugivore-insectivores of the forests of South and Central America: in the Amazon basin, the

Guianas, northern Colombia and Panama. Hershkovitz (1966b, 1977, 1979, 1982) recognized ten species and 33 species and subspecies, and since his synthesis the number of taxa has remained almost the same (Table 1). The few changes include: (1) the loss of *S. fuscicollis acrensis*, described by de Carvalho (1957a) as a subspecies of *Leontocebus melanoleucus* Miranda Ribeiro, 1912, but which was found to be a hybrid *S. f. fuscicollis*  $\times$  *S. f. melanoleucus* 



<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author. E-mail: a.rylands@conservation.org

#### Table 1. The taxonomy of the tamarins

#### Hershkovitz (1977, 1979, 1982)

White-mouthed or nigricollis group Saguinus fuscicollis fuscus (Lesson, 1840) Saguinus nigricollis nigricollis (Spix, 1823) Saguinus nigricollis graellsi (Jiménez de la Espada, 1870) Saguinus nigricollis hernandezi Hershkovitz, 1982 Saguinus fuscicollis leucogenys (Gray, 1866) Saguinus fuscicollis illigeri (Pucheran, 1845) Saguinus fuscicollis lagonotus (Jiménez de la Espada, 1870) Saguinus fuscicollis tripartitus (Milne-Edwards, 1878) Saguinus fuscicollis fuscicollis (Spix, 1823) Saguinus fuscicollis avilapiresi Hershkovitz, 1966 Saguinus fuscicollis primitivus Hershkovitz, 1977

Saguinus fuscicollis nigrifrons (I. Geoffroy, 1850) Saguinus fuscicollis cruzlimai Hershkovitz, 1966 Saguinus fuscicollis weddelli (Deville, 1849) Saguinus fuscicollis melanoleucus (Miranda Ribeiro, 1912) Saguinus fuscicollis acrensis (de Carvalho, 1957)<sup>†</sup> Saguinus fuscicollis crandalli Hershkovitz, 1966 Moustached or mystax group

Saguinus mystax mystax (Spix, 1823) Saguinus mystax pileatus (I. Geoffroy & Deville, 1848) Saguinus mystax pluto (Lönnberg, 1926) Saguinus labiatus labiatus (É. Geoffroy, 1812) Saguinus labiatus thomasi (Goeldi, 1907)

Saguinus imperator imperator (Goeldi, 1907) Saguinus imperator subgrisescens (Lönnberg, 1940) Mottled-face tamarin or inustus group Saguinus inustus (Schwarz, 1951) Midas tamarin or midas group Saguinus midas midas (Linnaeus, 1758) Saguinus midas niger (É. Geoffroy, 1803)

Brazilian bare-face tamarins or bicolor group Saguinus bicolor bicolor (Spix, 1823) Saguinus bicolor martinsi (Thomas, 1912) Saguinus bicolor ochraceus Hershkovitz, 1966 Colombian and Panamanian bare-face tamarins or oedipus group Saguinus oedipus oedipus (Linnaeus, 1758) Saguinus oedipus geoffroyi (Pucheran, 1845) Saguinus leucopus (Günther, 1877) Rylands & Mittermeier (2013)

White-mouthed or *nigricollis* group Saguinus fuscus Saguinus nigricollis nigricollis Saguinus nigricollis graellsi Saguinus nigricollis hernandezi Saguinus leucogenys Saguinus illigeri Saguinus lagonotus Saguinus tripartitus Saguinus fuscicollis fuscicollis Saguinus fuscicollis avilapiresi Saguinus fuscicollis primitivus Saguinus fuscicollis mura Röhe et al., 2009 Saguinus nigrifrons Saguinus cruzlimai\* Saguinus weddelli weddelli Saguinus weddelli melanoleucus Saguinus weddelli crandalli Moustached or *mystax* group Saguinus mystax mystax Saguinus mystax pileatus Saguinus mystax pluto Saguinus labiatus labiatus Saguinus labiatus thomasi Saguinus labiatus rufiventer (Gray, 1843)<sup>‡</sup> Saguinus imperator imperator Saguinus imperator subgrisescens Saguinus inustus Midas tamarin or midas group Saguinus midas Saguinus niger Saguinus ursulus Hoffmannsegg,  $1807^{\$}$ Brazilian bare-face tamarins or bicolor group Saguinus bicolor Saguinus martinsi martinsi Saguinus martinsi ochraceus Colombian and Panamanian bare-face

tamarins or oedipus group Saguinus oedipus Saguinus geoffroyi Saguinus leucopus

Arrangements proposed by Hershkovitz (1977, 1979, 1982) and Rylands & Mittermeier (2013), the latter with three modifications: (1) inclusion of *Saguinus inustus* in the moustached or *mystax* group as indicated by molecular data (Jacobs Cropp *et al.*, 1999; Boubli *et al.*, 2015; Buckner *et al.*, 2015); (2) the addition of *Saguinus ursulus* Hoffmannsegg, 1807, revalidated by Gregorin & de Vivo (2013); and (3) the elevation of *S. fuscicollis cruzlimai* to a species by Sampaio *et al.* (2015).

\*Raised to species level by Sampaio et al. (2015).

<sup>†</sup>Found to be a hybrid S. f. fuscicollis × S. f. melanoleucus (Peres, 1993a; Peres et al., 1996).

<sup>‡</sup>Revalidated by Groves (2001).

<sup>§</sup>Revalidated by Gregorin & de Vivo (2013).

(Peres, 1993a; Peres, Patton & da Silva, 1996); (2) the recognition of S. labiatus rufiventer (Gray, 1843) by Groves (2001, 2005); (3) the description of a new subspecies. S. fuscicollis mura Röhe et al., 2009: and (4) the splitting of Hershkovitz's S. midas niger into S. niger (É. Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, 1803) and S. ursulus Hoffmannsegg, 1807, by Gregorin & de Vivo (2013). The principal changes in the taxonomy of this genus have arisen from the trend to adopt the Phylogenetic Species Concept (Cracraft, 1983; Groves, 2001, 2004, 2012, 2014; Rylands & Mittermeier, 2014); a number of Hershkovitz's subspecies are now considered species, and the tally of tamarins currently stands at 22 species and 35 species and subspecies (Groves, 2001, 2005; Matauschek, Roos & Heymann, 2011; Rylands, Mittermeier & Silva-Júnior, 2012; Gregorin & de Vivo, 2013; Rylands & Mittermeier, 2008, 2013; Sampaio et al., 2015).

Besides the tamarins, the Callitrichidae comprises the pygmy marmosets (Cebuella), the Amazonian marmosets (Mico), Goeldi's monkey (Callimico), the Atlantic forest marmosets (Callithrix) and the Atlantic forest lion tamarins (Leontopithecus) (Rylands et al., 2012; Schneider et al., 2012; Garbino, 2015; Schneider & Sampaio, 2015). The molecular genetic analysis of Perelman et al. (2011) indicated that the Callitrichidae diverged from the Aotidae (night monkeys) and Cebidae (squirrel monkeys and capuchin monkeys) about 20-19 million years ago (Ma) (see also Goodman et al., 1998; Ray et al., 2005; Schneider & Sampaio, 2015). The tamarins split early in the callitrichid radiation (Canavez et al., 1999; see review by Osterholz, Walter & Roos, 2009), and are sister to all other extant callitrichids, with a Middle Miocene divergence estimated at 15-13 Ma (Matauschek et al., 2011; Perelman et al., 2011; Perez, Klaczo & dos Reis, 2012; Schneider et al., 2012; Buckner et al., 2015; Schneider & Sampaio, 2015).

Based on morphology, pelage and geographical distribution, Hershkovitz (1977: 604) separated his ten tamarin species into the following groups: (1) the white-mouthed tamarin or *nigricollis* group (*S. nigricollis* and *S. fuscicollis*); (2) the moustached tamarin or *mystax* group (*S. mystax*, *S. labiatus* and *S. imperator*); (3) the Midas tamarin group (*S. midas*); (4) the mottled-face tamarin group (*S. inustus*); (5) the Brazilian bare-face tamarin group (*S. bicolor*); and (6) the Colombian and Panamanian bare-face tamarin group (*S. leucopus* and *S. oedipus*) (Table 1, Figs 1–3). For shorthand, we refer to them as (1) the *nigricollis* group, (2) the *mystax* group, (3) the *midas* group, (4) the *inustus* group, (5) the *bicolor* group and (6) the *oedipus* group.

Molecular genetic studies have confirmed five of these six groups as distinct clades. The exception is *inustus*, which occurs north of the Rio Solimões in Brazil and Colombia, and, having a bare-face, Hershkovitz (1977: 732) supposed that it came 'from the same stock that could have given rise to the *S. oedipus* group'. The results of the molecular genetic analyses of Jacobs Cropp, Larson & Cheverud (1999) and Boubli *et al.* (2015) who used mtDNA, Buckner *et al.* (2015) who used both mtDNA and nuclear sequences, and da Cunha *et al.* (2011) who analysed DNA sequences of five nuclear genes with *Alu* insertions, have indicated however, that it may be a sister to *S. mystax*, *S. labiatus* or *S. mystax* + *S. labiatus*, and as such is a well-embedded member of the *mystax* group.

In this article, we review changes to the taxonomy of the tamarins (species and subspecies) since Hershkovitz's synthesis (1977, 1979, 1982), and provide a summary of the history of the tamarin genus names. Taking into account new information from molecular phylogenetic studies concerning the ages of the tamarin clades - clades that are coincident with the species groups mentioned above - we suggest a taxonomy that recognizes two genera, not one. The argument for this separation, based on morphological, genetic, behavioural and ecological, and biogeographical evidence, follows the same reasoning as that for the split of the capuchin monkeys into two genera, Cebus Erxleben, 1777, and Sapajus Kerr, 1792, by Lynch Alfaro, Silva-Júnior & Rylands (2012).

# OVERVIEW OF MOLECULAR PHYLOGENETIC EVIDENCE FOR TAMARIN RELATIONSHIPS

Since the Jacobs, Larson & Cheverud (1995) study of phylogenetic relationships in Saguinus, analyses of molecular, protein and cytogenetic data have consistently revealed a deep divergence between a smallbodied clade (the *nigricollis* group) and the remaining larger tamarins (Meireles et al., 1997; Canavez et al., 1999; Jacobs Cropp et al., 1999; Tagliaro et al., 2005; Araripe et al., 2008; da Cunha et al., 2011; Matauschek et al., 2011; Perelman et al., 2011; Springer et al., 2012; Sampaio et al., 2015) (Table 2, Fig. 4). Estimates of the divergence dates reported for this split range from 11 to 8 Ma, considerably older than the radiation of the marmosets that began to diversify about 6-5 Ma (Matauschek et al., 2011; Perelman et al., 2011: Schneider et al., 2012: Buckner et al., 2015). Studies have shown that genetic distances are generally greatest when members of the small-bodied group are compared with those of the large-bodied groups (Meireles et al., 1997; Jacobs Cropp et al., 1999; Canavez et al., 1999; Araripe et al., 2008; da Cunha et al., 2011).



White-mouthed or nigricollis group

Figure 1. The white-mouthed tamarin or *nigricollis* group. Illustrations by Stephen D. Nash. © Conservation International.



Figure 2. The moustached tamarin or mystax group. Illustrations by Stephen D. Nash. © Conservation International.

Genetic studies of the relationships within the nigricollis group have revealed S. fuscicollis to be a paraphyletic taxon (Jacobs Cropp *et al.*, 1999; Matauschek *et al.*, 2011). As a result, Matauschek *et al.* (2011) proposed a revision of the nomenclature that would involve either the reduction of all taxa to subspecies of S. fuscicollis or the raising of all taxa to full species. The latter is preferable if the current marmoset nomenclature is to be maintained (all as species), as well as to follow the current trend in Neotropical primate taxonomy that adopts the Phylogenetic Species Concept (PSC) (Groves, 2012). The PSC tends to increase the numbers of species often by raising taxa ranked as subspecies to species level. In the most recent well-supported phylogenies, the mystax group, composed of (((S. mystax, S. inustus), S. labiatus), S. imperator), is recovered to have diverged from a common ancestor to the oedipus, midas and bicolor groups approximately 7–6 Ma (Perelman et al., 2011; Springer et al., 2012; Boubli et al., 2015; Buckner et al., 2015). Buckner et al. (2015) found that the oedipus group is composed of ((S. oedipus, S. geoffroyi), S. leucopus) and the midas and bicolor groups are composed of (S. niger, S. midas) and (S. martinsi, S. bicolor), respectively. The position of S. leucopus is still contentious as Springer et al. (2012) recovered it as the sister lineage to the mystax group, while Araripe et al. (2008) and Tagliaro et al. (2005), although analysing only single



Midas tamarin or midas group

Brazilian bare-faced tamarins or bicolor group



Figure 3. The Midas tamarin or midas group, the Brazilian bare-faced tamarin or bicolor group, and the Colombian and Panamanian bare-face tamarin or oedipus group. Illustrations by Stephen D. Nash. © Conservation International.

Study	Markers used	Inferred species relationships	Group node support	Age estimate (Ma)	Confidence interval (Ma)
Mystax group v	rs. oedipus + midas/bicolor gr	oups			
Buckner et al. (2015)	16S rRNA, ABCA1, ADORA3, AFF2, VWF, COII, CytB, D-loop, DMRT1, FBN1	(((S. mystax, S. inustus), S. labiatus), S. imperator)	0.99 (PP)	6.1	4.5–7.9
Springer et al. (2012)	76 genes*	(((S. mystax, S. labiatus), S. leucopus), S. imperator)	50-70 (ML)	5.7	4.1–7.4
Perelman et al. (2011)	54 genes (X-chromosome, Y-chromosome, autosome, intron, exon, and UTR segments)	((S. mystax, S. labiatus), S. imperator)	100/100/1.00 (ML/MP/ PP)	7.0	4.7–9.4
da Cunha et al. (2011)	5 nuclear loci with <i>Alu</i> insertions	(((S. labiatus, S. inustus) S. imperator) S. mystax)	72/57/50/51 (ML/BI/NJ/ MP for S. labiatus, S. inustus, S. imperator clade)	~12.5	n/a
Oedipus group	vs. <i>midas/bicolor</i> groups				
da Cunha et al. (2011)	5 nuclear loci with <i>Alu</i> insertions	(S. oedipus, S. leucopus)	97/100/100/88 (ML/BI/ NJ/MP)	~9.5	n/a
Buckner et al. (2015)	16S rRNA, ABCA1, ADORA3, AFF2, VWF, COII, CytB, D-loop, DMRT1, FBN1	((S. oedipus, S. geoffroyi), S. leucopus)	1.00 (PP)	4.9	3.6–6.6
Springer et al. (2012)	76 genes*	(S. oedipus, S. geoffroyi)	≥95 (ML)	4.7	3.1 - 6.5
Perelman et al. (2011)	54 genes (X-chromosome, Y-chromosome, autosome, intron, exon, and UTR segments)	(S. oedipus, S. geoffroyi)	100/100/1.00 (ML/MP/ PP)	5.3	3.4–7.6
Midas/bicolor	groups vs. <i>oedipus</i> group				
Buckner et al. (2015)	16S rRNA, ABCA1, ADORA3, AFF2, VWF, COII, CytB, D-loop, DMRT1, FBN1	((S. martinsi, S. bicolor), (S. midas, S. niger))	1.00 (PP)	4.9	3.6–6.6
Springer et al. (2012)	76 genes*	((S. martinsi, S. bicolor), (S. midas, S. niger))	70–<95 (ML)	4.7	3.1 - 6.5
Perelman <i>et al.</i> (2011)	54 genes (X-chromosome, Y-chromosome, autosome, intron, exon, and UTR segments)	((S. martinsi, S. bicolor), S. midas)	100/100/1.00 (ML/MP/ PP)	5.3	3.4–7.6
da Cunha et al. (2011)	5 nuclear loci with <i>Alu</i> insertions	((S. martinsi, S. bicolor), (S. midas, S. niger))	97/100/94/97 (ML/BI/ NJ/MP)	~9.5	n/a

Table 2. Estimated divergence times of the *mystax*, *oedipus* and *midas/bicolor* groups, and species relationships for each of the large-bodied tamarin clades for studies including multiple genes

MP, maximum parsimony; ML, maximum likelihood; NJ, neighbour joining; PP, posterior probability; BI, Bayesian Inference; Ma, million years ago; n/a, not available.

\*Many species have only a small subset represented of the full set of genes included in the study.

genes, found this species to form the sister lineage to all other large-bodied tamarins, but with low support (50-70%). The 16S ribosomal RNA gene studied by

Araripe *et al.* (2008) is known to give inaccurate results when comparing species. The *oedipus* and *mi*-*das* and *bicolor* groups diverged from each other



**Figure 4.** Ultrametric tree showing phylogenetic relationships and divergence ages among all callitrichid genera and tamarin species groups as reconstructed from nuclear sequence data (redrawn from Perelman *et al.*, 2011). All nodes are significantly supported by maximum-parsimony and maximum-likelihood bootstrap values of  $\geq$ 98%, and Bayesian posterior probabilities of 1.0. Blue bars indicate 95% credibility intervals of divergence times and the time scale below shows million years before present.

Group or genus	Study	Age estimate (Ma)	Confidence interval (Ma)
Nigricollis group	Buckner et al. (2015)	9.1	7.1–11.6
(Leontocebus vs. Saguinus)	Perelman et al. (2011)	8.4	5.7 - 11.4
-	Matauschek et al. (2011)	10.1	7.1 - 12.4
Mystax group vs. midas/bicolor +	Buckner et al. (2015)	6.1	4.5 - 7.9
oedipus groups	Boubli et al. (2015)	7.1	4.9 - 9.4
	Perelman et al. (2011)	7.0	4.7 - 9.4
Oedipus group vs. midas/bicolor	Buckner et al. (2015)	4.9	3.6-6.6
	Perelman et al. (2011)	5.3	3.4 - 7.6
Callithrix vs. Cebuella + Mico	Buckner et al. (2015)	5.4	4.3 - 6.7
	Schneider et al. (2012)	5.3	n/a
	Perelman et al. (2011)	6.0	2.9 - 7.2
Cebuella vs. Mico	Buckner et al. (2015)	4.4	3.3 - 5.6
	Schneider et al. (2012)	4.0	n/a
	Perelman et al. (2011)	4.8	2.9 - 7.2
Leontopithecus vs. Callimico +	Buckner et al. (2015)	13.4	11.4 - 15.6
Callithrix + Cebuella + Mico	Perelman et al. (2011)	13.6	9.9 - 17.3
	Opazo <i>et al.</i> (2006)	14.2	n/a
Callimico vs. Callithrix +	Buckner et al. (2015)	11.2	9.2 - 13.3
Callithrix + Cebuella + Mico	Schneider et al. (2012)	11.5	n/a
	Perelman et al. (2011)	10.7	7.6 - 14.2
	Opazo et al. (2006)*	12.1	n/a

#### Table 3. Divergence times for callitrichid lineages

Ma, millions of years ago; n/a, not available.

\*Dates from Opazo et al. (2006) are from the ML/Bayesian tree.

about 5–4 Ma (Perelman *et al.*, 2011; Buckner *et al.*, 2015) (see Table 3, Fig. 4).

Marmosets, with 21 species and 22 species and subspecies, are less speciose than the tamarins. The tamarins show divergence times between their lineages that are comparable to or older than those of the three currently recognized marmoset genera, *Callithrix, Mico,* and *Cebuella* (Perelman *et al.*, 2011; Buckner *et al.*, 2015) (see Fig. 4). Genetic distances among all the major clades of tamarins are also comparable to those between the genera of marmosets (Canavez *et al.*, 1999). All of the marmoset taxa except for the pygmy marmosets, *Cebuella*, are currently recognized as full species (Rylands, Coimbra-Filho & Mittermeier, 2009).

Molecular phylogenetic studies of the small-bodied tamarins (*nigricollis* group) and large-bodied tamarins (remaining groups) consistently recover four distinct clades, even though internal arrangements found within each of these groups have varied (Jacobs Cropp *et al.*, 1999; Tagliaro *et al.*, 2005; Araripe *et al.*, 2008; Buckner *et al.*, 2015). They are (1) the *nigricollis* group, (2) the *mystax* + *inustus* group, (3) the *midas* and *bicolor* groups, and (4) the *oedipus* group. There is certainly agreement concerning the placement of the *mystax* group as sister to the *oedipus* and *midas/bicolor*, while the *nigricollis* group represents the first tamarin split (e.g. Perelman *et al.*, 2011).

As indicated by the varying results discussed, there are still doubts about some aspects of tamarin phylogenetics. There remain species that have little to no genetic information available and thus their relationship to other tamarins is unclear: for example, S. nigricollis hernandezi, S. ursulus, S. mystax pluto and S. m. pileatus. Additionally, most studies have used only one or a few markers to study the phylogenetics of this group, the shortcomings of which have been discussed extensively elsewhere (Maddison, 1997; see Table 2). Exceptions to this have been the efforts of Perelman et al. (2011), in which 54 genes were sequenced for all species in the study, and Springer et al. (2012), who used the Perelman et al. (2011) data set and, in addition, concatenated all available sequences for many other primate species. While Perelman et al. (2011) generated both nuclear and mitochondrial sequence data, Springer et al. (2012) harvested all their sequence data from GenBank. The Springer et al. (2012) study encompasses the largest number of primate taxa to date, but the number of genes available for each taxon varies considerably, and in some instances species are represented by as little as a single gene. Furthermore, the species identification of sequence data from GenBank is not always reliable.

# TAXONOMY OF THE TAMARINS – CHANGES SINCE 1977

Hershkovitz's (1977) monograph on the taxonomy and biology of the Callitrichidae organized, clarified and rationalized a tortuous and complex taxonomic history for this family. He placed all of the tamarins in a single genus, *Saguinus* Hoffmannsegg, 1807. His taxonomy has remained largely intact since then (Rylands *et al.*, 2012). Here we detail the few taxonomic changes since 1977.

As mentioned, in the *nigricollis* group one subspecies has been lost (*S. fuscicollis acrensis* found to be a hybrid) and one gained (*S. fuscicollis mura* described in 2009). Thorington (1988) believed that *S. fuscicollis tripartitus* was sympatric with *S. fuscicollis lagonotus* and raised the former to a full species. Further surveys and analysis showed that they are not in fact sympatric, but *tripartitus* continued to be considered a distinct species (Heymann, 2000a; Heymann, Encarnación-C & Canaquin-Y, 2002; Matauschek, 2010; Rylands *et al.*, 2011; Aquino *et al.*, 2014).

The distinctive white S. f. melanoleucus was first described as a full species (Mico melanoleucus Miranda Ribeiro, 1912), and was listed by Coimbra-Filho (1990) as such, with acrensis and crandalli as subspecies. As mentioned, acrensis is now believed to be a hybrid, and *crandalli*, known from a single specimen of unknown provenance (Hershkovitz, 1966b), may likewise be a hybrid. Coimbra-Filho's taxonomy in this case was taken up by Groves (2001, 2005) and Rylands & Mittermeier (2008). Tagliaro et al. (2005) used data on ND1 mitochondrial DNA from one specimen of *melanoleucus* and six specimens of S. fuscicollis weddelli to test this hypothesis. Differences between melanoleucus and weddelli were no larger than among the *weddelli* specimens, thus failing to support Coimbra-Filho's (1990) separation. Matauschek et al. (2011) also found that the forms weddelli and melanoleucus were genetically very closely related. They recommended, however, that, due to its distinctive pelage colour (white), melanoleucus should continue to be considered a valid taxon, but as a subspecies of Saguinus weddelli until further genetic analyses are carried out.

The northernmost of Hershkovitz's (1977) saddleback tamarins, Saguinus fuscicollis fuscus, occurring north of the Río Putumayo-Içá in Colombia and Brazil, is evidently geographically isolated from other saddle-back tamarins (S. n. nigricollis occurs along the right bank of the Putumayo-Içá) (see Rylands et al., 2011). The geographical proximity of S. f. fuscus and S. nigricollis is concordant with the phylogenetic affinity of the two found by Jacobs Cropp et al. (1999), who suggested that fuscus should be considered a separate species as a result; a suggestion supported by Cheverud & Moore (1990) who studied facial morphology.

Matauschek *et al.* (2011) carried out a molecular genetic analysis of the Peruvian saddle-back tamarins and black-mantle tamarins. They identified four clades and recommended that *tripartitus*, *lagonotus*, *leucogenys*, *illigeri*, *nigrifrons* and *weddelli*, all placed as subspecies of *S. fuscicollis* by Hershkovitz (1977), be considered species. Rylands & Mittermeier's (2013) taxonomy of the saddle-back tamarins (Table 1), influenced particularly by Matauschek *et al.* (2011), included eight species and 14 taxa (species and subspecies). Sampaio *et al.* (2015) subsequently reported on the provenance of *S. f. cruzlimai*, unknown to Hershkovitz (1966b, 1977), and argued that it too should be classified as a species. The Brazilian saddle-back tamarins *avilapiresi*, *primitivus* and *mura* remain as subspecies of *S. fuscicollis* pending equivalent molecular phylogenetic analyses.

The study of Matauschek et al. (2011) revealed intergradation between Saguinus fuscicollis illigeri and S. f. leucogenys, especially in San Martín, Moyobamba, Peru, and further north in the Río Mayo valley. There, phenotypes were more similar to S. f. illigeri, otherwise known along the ríos Ucayali, Tapiche and Pacaya. Hershkovitz (1977) also noted that Moyobamba specimens were similar to S. f. il*ligeri*, but assigned them to S. f. leucogenvs. This finding of similarity was reflected in the genetic data. North of the Río Pachitea in the northern part of the range, S. f. leucogenys (sensu Hershkovitz, 1977) formed a clade with S. f. illigeri. Samples of S. f. leucogenys south of the Río Pachitea formed part of the clade with other eastern/south-eastern taxa (S. w. weddelli, S. f. fuscicollis, S. f. nigrifrons and S. f. melanoleucus). Matauschek et al. (2011: 571) wrote that 'morphological and mitochondrial evidence suggested a range limit for S. f. leucogenvs far more southwards than described by Hershkovitz (1977) and an inclusion of the northern populations of S. f. leucogenys into S. f. illigeri'.

In Hershkovitz's (1977, 1982) taxonomy there is just one species of black-mantle tamarin, Saguinus nigricollis, with three subspecies: nigricollis, graellsi and hernandezi. Hernández-Camacho & Cooper (1976) and Defler (1994) suggested that graellsi was a full species on the basis of supposed sympatry with a population of S. n. nigricollis in the region of Puerto Leguízamo in southern Colombia. Groves (2001, 2005) maintained graellsi as a full species based on Hernández-Camacho & Cooper's (1976) supposition of its sympatry with nigricollis. Defler (2004) and Hershkovitz (pers. comm. to Defler, 2004), concluded, however, that the specimens considered to be S. n. nigricollis were in fact just dull-coloured S. fuscicollis fuscus, and Hernández-Camacho & Defler (1989) and Defler (2004) listed graellsi as a subspecies of S. nigricollis. Molecular genetic data have indicated that graellsi and nigricollis are inseparable (Matauschek et al., 2011), and there seems to be no identifiable geographical or ecological barrier separating their ranges between the ríos Putumayo and Napo (Matauschek *et al.*, 2011; Rylands *et al.*, 2011). Furthermore, *S. nigricollis* is more closely related to some taxa previously considered as subspecies of *S. fuscicollis* than these are amongst each other (Matauschek *et al.*, 2011). This renders *S. fuscicollis* a polyphyletic taxon and provides additional arguments for giving species rank to its subspecies.

Currently, the *nigricollis* group is considered to comprise ten species and 17 species and subspecies. Molecular phylogenetic analyses have yet to be carried out on the forms *S. nigricollis hernandezi*, *S. fuscicollis avilapiresi*, *S. f. mura*, *S. f. primitivus* and *S. weddelli crandalli*.

In the mystax group, Hershkovitz (1977, 1979) listed Jacchus rufiventer Gray, 1843, as a synonym of S. l. labiatus. He recognized that red-bellied tamarins in the north of their range were distinct but argued that it was probably a clinal variation. Groves (2001, 2005) revalidated *rufiventer* with a provisional distribution (indicated by Hershkovitz) that extends south from the Rio Solimões between the rios Madeira and Purus to the Rio Ipixuna, an east bank tributary of the Rio Purus. Groves (2001) also argued that, while S. m. mystax and S. m. pluto are guite similar to each other, the red-capped *pileatus* is distinct, and he listed it as a separate species, S. pileatus. Current evidence indicates that the ranges of S. m. mystax and S. m. pluto are separated by pileatus (Rylands, Coimbra-Filho & Mittermeier, 1993; Rylands & Mittermeier, 2008), indicating that pluto would then need to be considered a distinct species as well. The affinities of the little known S. inustus, forming a monotypic mottled-face tamarin group, were a mystery for Hershkovitz and for all. The surprising (but geographically reasonable) finding that *inustus* is genetically aligned with the *mystax* group means we have provisionally placed it with the moustached tamarins, although more research is needed. Hershkovitz (1979) reviewed the taxonomy and distributions of S. imperator, resurrecting imperator subgrisescens, earlier (1977) considered a synonym.

Vallinoto *et al.* (2006) found that *S. midas* from the Rio Uatumã separated out from the populations from the Rio Trombetas to the east, about 200 km, indicating a possibility that red-handed (western) and yellow-handed (eastern and northern) forms of *S. midas* may be geographical races or distinct species. Hershkovitz (1977) listed the black-handed tamarin, (*niger*) as a subspecies of *S. midas*. It is now considered a full species, and Tagliaro *et al.* (2005) and Vallinoto *et al.* (2006) indicated that the Rio Tocantins is a barrier to gene flow, and that *S. niger* on either side of the river may be distinct taxa. Gregorin & de Vivo (2013) subsequently revalidated *Saguinus ursulus* Hoffmannsegg as the form east of the Rio Tocantins, with S. niger restricted to the west of the river.

Hershkovitz (1977) placed the forms ochraceus Hershkovitz, 1966, and martinsi Thomas, 1912, as subspecies of S. bicolor. Groves (2001, 2005) listed them as subspecies of martinsi. Coimbra-Filho, Pissinatti & Rylands (1997) indicated the possibility that ochraceus may have arisen as a natural hybrid, intermediate between bicolor to its west and martinsi to the east.

For the *oedipus* group, Hershkovitz (1977) considered the Panamanian *geoffroyi* to be a subspecies of *S. oedipus*. Thorington (1976), Hanihara & Natori (1987), Kanazawa & Rosenberger (1988) and Skinner (1991) argued that it should be considered a distinct species (see Rylands *et al.*, 2006).

# TAMARINS: DISTRIBUTIONS, ECOLOGY, BEHAVIOUR AND SYMPATRY

The tamarins are very largely Amazonian, extending outside of the basin only into the Guianas (*midas* group) and northern Colombia and Panama (*oedipus* group) (see Figs 5, 6).

Nigricollis group – south of the ríos Caquetá, Caguán and Orteguaza, south through Ecuador and Peru, east of the Andes, to about 16°S in Bolivia, and east to the Rio Ji-Paraná in the state of Rondônia, Brazil.

*Mystax/inustus* group – south of the Rio Solimões-Amazonas, east of the Rio Ucayali, extending east to the Rio Madeira, east and south to the ríos Urubamba and Inuya in Peru, and as far as the southern bank of the Río Muyumanu in Bolivia, with *inustus* between the Rio Negro and the Rio Solimões, extending west into Colombia.

*Midas/bicolor* groups – Guiana Shield, east of the rios Negro and Branco and the Essequibo River in Guyana, north of the Rio Amazonas, and east of the Rio Xingu, south of the Rio Amazonas.

Oedipus group – northern Colombia, basins of the ríos Cauca and Magdalena, and Panama.

The mystax group tamarins are broadly sympatric with the nigricollis group south of the Rio Solimões-Amazonas and west of the Rio Madeira, and they commonly form mixed-species groups (Heymann, 1997; Bicca-Marques, 1999; Buchanan-Smith, 1999; Heymann & Buchanan-Smith, 2000). The nigricollis group tamarins are smaller than those of the mystax group. Garber (1992: 470) indicates a body mass of 310-410 g for S. fuscicollis, 475–525 g for S. labiatus and 525–650 g for S. mystax. The midas/bicolor and oedipus groups are entirely allopatric.

White-mouthed tamarins (the *nigricollis* group) have been the subject of several ecological and behavioural studies, although most have focused on only two taxa, *S. nigrifrons* and *S. w. weddelli* (Table S1). Despite this bias, existing studies suggest that white-mouthed tamarins are ecologically and behaviorally relatively uniform. A striking feature of their ecology, notable even during short observations, is the prevailing use of lower forest strata and the



Figure 5. The geographical distribution of the *nigricollis* group tamarins. Map by Stephen D. Nash. © Conservation International.



**Figure 6.** The geographical distributions of the tamarins of the *mystax* group (orange), the *oedipus* group (purple), and the *midas* and *bicolor* groups (green). Map by Stephen D. Nash. © Conservation International.

higher proportion of leaping between vertical trunks in their locomotor repertoire (Yoneda, 1981, 1984; Garber, 1991, 1992; Nyakatura & Heymann, 2010). This is even more noticeable in areas of sympatry with species of the *mystax* group that occupy higher strata than white-mouthed tamarins and more often employ movements along horizontal branches and leaping from canopy to canopy (Garber, 1991; Buchanan-Smith, 1999; Heymann & Buchanan-Smith, 2000; Heymann, 2001; Nyakatura & Heymann, 2010). Comparison of different taxa of whitemouthed tamarins reveals that the prevailing use of lower forest strata is independent of sympatry or allopatry with other tamarin taxa (Yoneda, 1981, 1984; Soini, 1987; Buchanan-Smith, 1999; Heymann, 1997). It is thus not the consequence of vertical displacement by the larger taxa of the *mystax* group but rather represents a uniform trait of whitemouthed tamarins (Buchanan-Smith, 1999).

White-mouthed tamarins are also highly distinct from other tamarin taxa in their prey foraging behaviour. They search for prey concealed in knotholes, crevices, bromeliad tanks and leaf litter, amongst other substrates (Terborgh, 1983; Yoneda, 1984; Peres, 1993b; Smith, 2000; Nadjafzadeh & Heymann, 2008). This is in contrast to the foraging behaviour of larger tamarins, which focus on exposed prey on the surface of leaves, branches and trunks (Terborgh, 1983; Yoneda, 1984; Peres, 1993b; Smith, 2000; Nadjafzadeh & Heymann, 2008). Notably, the hands of white-mouthed tamarins are relatively longer and narrower than those of other tamarin taxa (Bicca-Marques, 1999), probably as an adaptation to this extractive foraging behaviour.

Interspecific differences in prey foraging behaviour led Garber (1993) to identify three patterns in Saguinus. These patterns correspond to the Panamanian tamarin (S. geoffroyi; Pattern 1), the mystax group and perhaps S. midas (Pattern 2), and the whitemouthed tamarins (Pattern 3). While Patterns 2 and 3 are confirmed by several independent studies on different taxa and populations (see above), the validity of Pattern 1 and whether it extends to the sister species of S. geoffroyi – S. oedipus and S. leucopus – remains to be determined. In any case, the ecological distinctiveness of the white-mouthed tamarins provides additional arguments for their taxonomic separation.

While their prey foraging behaviour is distinct, there seem to be few differences between whitemouthed and other tamarins with regard to social organization and group size. In all tamarins, groups contain between two and 11 individuals (reviewed by Digby, Ferrari & Saltzman, 2011). Breeding is generally restricted to a single female, although both successful and unsuccessful breeding by multiple females have been observed (Calegaro-Marques, Bicca-Marques & de Azevedo, 1995; Goldizen *et al.*, 1996; Tirado Herrera, Knogge & Heymann, 2000). The prevailing social mating system (*sensu* Kappeler & van Schaik, 2002) is polyandry, but monogamy, polygyny and polygynandry also occur (Terborgh & Goldizen, 1985; Goldizen, 1988; Heymann, 2000b; Garber *et al.*, 2016).

In contrast to the lack of obvious differences in social organization and mating system, clear differences seem to exist with regard to olfactory communication. While all tamarins studied so far employ anogenital, suprapubic and sternal scent marking (Epple et al., 1993), suprapubic marking seems to be much more frequent in white-mouthed than in other tamarins (Heymann, 2001). Furthermore, scent marking is more complex, i.e. involves the combination of more single acts and more different types of scent marking, in white-mouthed tamarins (Heymann, 2001). Such differences may relate to subtle and, to date, unrecognized differences in social organization, social structure and mating system (sensu Kappeler & van Schaik, 2002). However, the scarcity of data on scent marking behaviour in tamarins except for S. nigrifrons and S. mystax makes this interpretation tentative.

In sum, white-mouthed tamarins differ from other tamarins primarily with regard to forest strata use, locomotion and prey foraging. These differences are substantial and support a generic separation of the white-mouthed from other tamarins.

Except for the recognition of a separate foraging type (Pattern 1) for *S. geoffroyi* by Garber (1993), behavioural or ecological differences between the different species groups of the larger tamarins are less obvious or currently unknown. However, as with the *nigricollis* group, intensive and long-term studies are restricted to a few species, namely from the *mystax* group, currently restricting detailed comparisons (Table S2). The recognition by Ackermann & Cheverud (2002) of a distinct cranio-facial morphology in the *oedipus* group (see also below) suggests that concomitant behavioural or ecological differences may exist, at least between it and the other groups.

# TAXONOMIC HISTORY OF GENUS NAMES FOR THE TAMARINS

The generic classification of the tamarins has a complex and confused history. Groves (2001) listed 11 genus names attributed specifically to tamarins, the long-tusked callitrichids, a number of which included the lion tamarins. Here we note some pertinent aspects of callitrichid nomenclature and the genus names attributed to the tamarins, particularly the use of the name *Leontocebus* Wagner, and how, despite it meaning lion monkey, came to be attached to the tamarins, and the *nigricollis* group tamarins in particular.

The first valid name attributed specifically to the tamarins was *Saguinus* Hoffmannsegg, 1807, but this was not recognized for most of the history of

their taxonomic classification – Hershkovitz in 1958 was the first to pull it out of obscurity. In 1812, Étienne Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire created the name Midas, with Hoffmannsegg's *Saguinus ursula* as the type, but Midas had already been taken for a genus of Diptera.

The name Leontocebus was created by Wagner (1839) as a subgenus that included, implicitly, the following species: Hapale chrysomelas, H. chrysopyga, H. leonina, H. rosalia, H. bicolor and H. oedipus. Except for *leonina*, these species' names are in use today. Simia leonina was named by von Humboldt (1805) and means lion monkey, leonina alluding to the long hair on the neck and mantle giving the appearance of a mane (Palmer, 1904). The description was based on two tamarins that Humboldt saw in captivity in Popayán, Colombia, which were said to have been brought from Mocoa (a river and town at the head of the Río Caquetá) and the Río Putumayo, at the eastern base of the Andean Cordillera Oriental (Hershkovitz, 1949, 1957, 1977; Cabrera, 1956). Wagner (1839) did not designate a type species for Leontocebus. Miller (1912) was the first to do so, selecting Midas leoninus É. Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire (a synonym of Simia leonina von Humboldt, 1805).

Lesson (1840) ordered the subfamily Hapalinae in two genera: (1) the marmosets Hapale Illiger, with two subgenera *Hapale* (ear tufts and ringed tail) (=Callithrix Erxleben, 1777) and Mico (no ear tufts and no rings on the tail) (the latter in use today for the Amazonian marmosets): and (2) the tamarins Midas É Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, with three subgenera: Midas, Oedipus Lesson and Leontopithecus Lesson. Oedipus as a genus name was preoccupied by Oedipus Tschudi, 1838, for a group of New World salamanders. Leontopithecus comprised three species: Leontopithecus marikina (from Rio de Janeiro and Cabo Frio = golden lion tamarin Leontopithecus rosalia [Linnaeus, 1766]) (p. 200), Leontopithecus fuscus (from Mocoa, Colombia = Simia leonina Humboldt) (p. 202) and Leontopithecus ater (from São Paulo = black lion tamarin *Leontopithecus chrysopy*gus [Mikan 1820]), with a variété from the forests between São Pedro d'Alcantara and the Sertão d'Ilhéos and the rios Belmonte (=Jequitinhonha) and Pardo = golden-headed lion tamarin *Leontopithecus* chrysomelas (Kühl, 1820) (p. 204). Lesson was familiar with the name chrysopygus, and the reason for his use of ater is unknown. Lesson (1840) did not name a type species for this subgenus. Although not stating specifically that it was a subgenus, Lesson (1840: 199) also listed Marikina for the pied tamarin, bicolor Spix, 1823, which Hershkovitz (1949: 411) used as the genus name for all the tamarins.

So why did Lesson (1840) change the name of *Simia leonina*? Cabrera (1956) noted that *Simia leonina* Humboldt, 1805, was a homonym of *Simia leonina* Shaw, 1800; which he said was the Wanderoo or Malabar monkey, which are common names of the lion-tailed macaque, *Macaca silenus*. There is an illustration of the lion-tailed macaque *Macaca silenus* (Linnaeus, 1758) with the caption *Simia silenus* L. (Plate XI) in Wagner (1839) and, following it (Plate XIB), one by a different artist, evidently also of a lion-tailed macaque, that is captioned '*Simia leonina*'. Fooden (1975: 75) noted that '*[Simia] leonina*: G. Cuvier, 1817, p.108, (not Shaw, 1800)' was a synonym of *Macaca silenus*.

Reichenbach (1862) was the first to use Lesson's (1840) Leontopithecus, and included only leonina. Gray (1870) used the name Leontopithecus Lesson for the lion tamarins (rosalia and chrysomelas; no mention of Leontocebus), Oedipus Lesson for the cotton-top tamarin and Geoffroy's tamarin in northern Colombia and Panama (the latter in a subgenus Hapanella Gray), and Seniocebus Gray for the pied tamarin, bicolor Spix. The remaining tamarins he placed in the genus Midas Geoffroy with three subgenera: Mystax Gray (mystax group), Midas (nigricollis group) and Tamarin Gray (midas group). As mentioned, Oedipus was preoccupied by a salamander genus, but Mystax too was preoccupied by a genus of caddis fly, Trichoptera, Mystax Stephens, 1829. Tamarin, with the type species Midas ursulus Geoffroy, was antedated by Saguinus Hoffmannsegg.

The Catalogus Mammalium of Trouessart (1904) placed the tamarins in the genus Midas É. Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire with the following subgenera: Leontopithecus Lesson (including '?leoninus'), Oedipomidas Reichenbach, Tamarinus nom. nov. (nigricollis and mystax groups + chrysopygus) and Midas (midas and bicolor groups). Midas mystax Spix was designated the type species of Tamarinus by Pocock (1917).

Elliot (1913) applied the name *Leontocebus* for all the lion tamarins and the moustached and *nigricollis* group tamarins. Evidently ignorant of Miller's (1912) designation of Midas leoninus he named Hapale chrysomelas Wied (the golden-headed lion tamarin) as the type species of the genus. He divided Leontocebus into two subgenera: Tamarinus (moustached and *nigricollis* group tamarins, and *L. chrysopygus*) and Marikina Reichenbach (lion tamarins Leontocebus leoninus, L. rosalia and L. chrysomelas, but not L. chrysopygus). The Colombian and Panamanian bare-face tamarins (*oedipus* and *geoffroyi*) he placed in the genus Oedipomidas Reichenbach. The Brazilian bare-face tamarins (bicolor and martinsi) were placed in the genus Seniocebus Gray, and the midas group was placed in the genus Cercopithecus Gronov.

Elliot (1913) placed Leontopithecus Lesson as a junior synonym of *Leontocebus*, because the publication date of Wagner's Die Säugthiere in Abbildungen nach der Natur mit Beschreibungen von Dr. Johann Christian Daniel von Schreber, following Palmer (1904), was given as 1839. In a footnote (p. 225), however, Pocock (1917) cast doubts on the date: 'Elliot, following Palmer gives 1839 as the date of this name, presumably on Sherborn's authority (P.Z.S. 1891, p.587); but although the part of Wagner's edition of Schreber dealing with the monkeys was published, according to Sherborn, in 1839, it is not obvious that the "Übersicht" [overview] and preface were published till 1840. The name may be given the benefit of the doubt, thus carrying priority over Leontopithecus.' Pocock cites Sherborn (1891), but in the subsequent work of the same author (Sherborn, 1922-1932) the name Leontocebus is clearly stated as being dated from 1839. This is a most point, however, because Miller (1912) had already designated Simia *leonina* as the type species for *Leontocebus*, and Cabrera (1956) showed that Humboldt's Simia leonina was in fact a saddle-back tamarin (see below). Pocock (1917) designated Lesson's Leontopithecus marikina [=L. rosalia] as the type species for Leontopithecus (see Kleiman, 1981), while following Elliot (1913) in placing *Leontopithecus* as a synonym of *Leontocebus*.

In his mordant review of the genera of Hapalidae, then the family name for the marmosets and tamarins, Pocock (1917) restricted the name Leontocebus (synonyms: Leontopithecus Lesson and Marikina Reichenbach) to the lion tamarins based on their longpalmed, syndactylous hands. He included chrysomelas and rosalia, and wrote (p. 255) that 'this genus probably contains L. leonina, Humb., a species about which practically nothing is known apart from the colour.' The black lion tamarin was evidently very little known as well, and Pocock made no comment on Elliot's placement of *Leontocebus chrysopygus* (Wagner) in the subgenus Tamarinus. Referring particularly to the morphology of the ear (pinna) he separated the Colombian bare-face tamarins (oedipus and geoffroyi) in the genus Oedipomidas Reichenbach, and placed all the remaining tamarins in the genus Mystax Gray. He discounted as such Elliot's use of the names Seniocebus (bicolor and martinsi) and Cercopithecus (the midas group). Thomas (1922) agreed with Pocock's (1917) revision, but re-introduced Seniocoebus Gray for leucopus, bicolor and martinsi.

da Cruz Lima (1945), dealing only with the tamarins of Amazonia, adopted a taxonomy with just two genera: *Marikina* Lesson (*bicolor*, *martinsi*) and *Tamarin* Gray (*mystax* group, *nigricollis* group and *midas* group). Simpson (1945) listed *Leontocebus* Wagner as the generic name for all the tamarins and lion tamarins. Hershkovitz (1949) placed the tamarins and lion tamarins in two genera, as follows: Marikina Lesson, with three subgenera Marikina (bicolor group and leucopus), Tamarin Gray (nigricollis group, midas group, mystax group) and Oedipomidas Reichenbach (oedipus group, but not leucopus); and Leontocebus Wagner (lion tamarins, rosalia, chrysomelas and chrysopygus). Hershkovitz (1949: 424) concluded that the original description and coloured plate of Simia leonina Humboldt indicated 'a marmoset whose identifiable characters correspond to those of Leontocebus rosalia', and that 'it is fitting to dispose of leonina in the synonymy of rosalia'.

Hill (1957) divided the tamarins into four genera as follows: Tamarin Link (midas group + inustus), Marikina Lesson (bicolor and martinsi), Oedipomidas Reichenbach (oedipus and geoffroyi) and Tamarinus Trouessart (the entire nigricollis group along with the moustached tamarins and *leucopus*). He placed the three lion tamarins (rosalia, chrysomelas and chrysopygus) in the genus Leontocebus, with Leontopithecus Lesson, 1840 a junior synonym. He did not refer to a type species and did not make reference to Miller (1912). Hill (1957: 262) explained that Leontocebus leoninus (Humboldt) was based on two specimens seen living in captivity at Popayán, Colombia 'at the opposite end of the New World tropics from the natural habitat of the other maned tamarins' and, with the lack of information (no other evidence of a hapalid occurring in Colombia), and based on the fact that the 'original description and plate recall rosalia', considered it a synonym.

It was Cabrera (1956) who clarified that Humboldt's Simia leonina was not a lion tamarin, but a member of the white-mouthed tamarin or nigricollis group. Hershkovitz (1957) reported on his examination of 16 specimens of hairy-faced tamarins that he collected between the ríos Caquetá and Putumayo the type region of Simia leonina - in 1952. Hershkovitz (1957: 17) confirmed that 'except for the very different pygmy marmoset, Cebuella pygmaea, no other member of the family Callithrichidae [sic] occurs in the area [and that] Discounting vagaries of the original description of Simia leonina and liberties taken by the artist in depicting an animal he never saw, the [...] series exhibits all positive diagnostic characters of Humboldt's monkey.' With Simia leonina being a tamarin and the type species for Leontocebus Wagner, Cabrera (1957) placed all the tamarins in the genus *Leontocebus* with three subgenera: Leontocebus (nigricollis group, mystax group, midas group), Oedipomidas Reichenbach (type Simia oedipus Linnaeus: oedipus group, but not leucopus) and Marikina Lesson (type Midas bicolor Spix: bicolor group and leucopus).

In a preface of nomenclatural emendations, Hill (1960: xxi-xxii), having read Cabrera (1956) and Hershkovitz (1957), acknowledged that *Leontocebus* pertained to a 'white-faced' tamarin, and affirmed that *Leontideus* Cabrera, 1956, was the correct name for the lion tamarins. He informed that the genus names *Tamarin* and *Tamarinus* used in his 1957 volume should, as a result, be considered junior synonyms of *Leontocebus*.

Hershkovitz (1958: 53) established Saguinus Hoffmannsegg as the 'first valid generic name for the group of marmosets characterized by normal lower canines'. He cited as synonyms the following: Leontocebus Wagner, Leontopithecus Lesson, Tamarin Gray, Cercopithecus Gronov (rejected), Midas Humboldt (pre-occupied), Mystax Gray (pre-occupied) and Tamarinus Trouessart. His 1958 arrangement took into account Hill (1957) and included three subgenera: Saguinus, Oedipomidas Reichenbach (synonyms Oedipus Lesson [pre-occupied] and Hapanella Gray) and Marikina Lesson (synonym Seniocebus Gray). The lion tamarins he placed in the genus *Leontideus* Cabrera (synonyms Marikina Reichenbach, and Leontocebus of authors not Wagner, 1839). Napier & Napier (1967: 376) followed Hershkovitz's (1958) arrangement. In his magnum opus of 1977, Hershkovitz avoided the use of subgenera, and instead classified the diversity of the tamarins, all in the genus Saguinus, in the six species' groups discussed at the beginning of this article (see Table 1).

# SPECIES GROUPS AND A PROPOSAL FOR THE USE OF THE GENUS NAME *LEONTOCEBUS* FOR THE *NIGRICOLLIS* GROUP

Since 2005, molecular genetic studies have been shedding light on the phylogeny of the callitrichids. They have clearly confirmed the taxonomic arrangement of the tamarin species' groups proposed by Hershkovitz (1977). All but the little known mottled-face tamarin group, S. inustus, fall into distinct clades that conform to his groups. Saguinus inustus, first described as Leontocebus midas inustus, was thought to be a hybrid of midas  $\times$  nigricollis by Hill (1957), who put it in the genus Tamarin (as Tamarin inustus) with the midas group (but could not accommodate it in the taxonomic key). Napier & Napier (1967) placed it in the subgenus Marikina with the bicolor group and S. leucopus. Hershkovitz (1977) placed it in its own group. A molecular genetic reanalysis by Buckner et al. (2015), using a D-Loop sequence for this species from Jacobs Cropp et al. (1999), placed *inustus* in the *mystax* group, as did Boubli et al. (2015), using cytochrome b sequences

from three *S. inustus* individuals wild-caught from known provenance.

The place of Saguinus leucopus was for many vears in doubt. Elliot (1913) made no reference to it. Thomas (1922) placed it in the genus Seniocebus Gray, along with bicolor and martinsi. Hershkovitz (1949), Cabrera (1957) and Napier & Napier (1967), likewise, aligned it with *bicolor* and *martinsi*, but in the subgenus Marikina Lesson. Hill (1957) considered it to be anomalous and placed it in Tamarinus Trouessart, but, as with *inustus*, was unable to accommodate it in his taxonomic key. Hershkovitz (1977) placed it as a member of the *oedipus* group, an arrangement borne out in subsequent morphological (Hanihara & Natori, 1987; Natori, 1988; Moore & Cheverud, 1992; Natori & Hanihara, 1992) and molecular genetic analyses (Tagliaro et al., 2005; Buckner et al., 2015).

The estimated ages of the lineages are shown in Tables 2 and 3 and indicate the phylogeny summarized in Fig. 4. The earliest lineage leading to a crown group of tamarins was the *nigricollis* group (the small-bodied tamarins) that appeared in the early Late Miocene, around 9 Ma, the second to appear was the *mystax* group in the middle Late Miocene, around 7 Ma, and the third was the *oedipus* group, right at the end of the Miocene, entering the Pliocene, around 5 Ma. The lineages of the bicolor and midas groups appeared around 2.5 Ma, during the Pliocene-Pleistocene transition. In comparison, the diversification of the marmosets began much later, with the lineage of the Atlantic forest marmosets. Callithrix, appearing about 5.4 Ma (end of the Miocene) and the Amazonian marmoset split of Cebuella and Mico occurring about 4.4 Ma, in the Early Pliocene.

Our proposal here is that the Late Miocene divergence (11-8 Ma) between small- and large-bodied tamarin lineages be reflected in tamarin taxonomy by raising the *nigricollis* group to the generic level. This is in accordance with the recommendations of Goodman et al. (1998); (see also Groves, 2001: 17-20) regarding an age-related taxonomic classification of the primate clades. As stated by Groves (2001: 18), one of the authors of the proposed classification of Goodman et al. (1998), a proviso is that any alterations must be made in line with the 'principle of least violence', meaning that it is important to preserve the ranks with the content with which they are widely recognized. Goodman et al. (1998) proposed 11-7 Ma (Late Miocene) as the appropriate age of the last common ancestor of different crown groups that should be considered distinct genera.

In addition to the evidence for at least 8 Myr of evolutionary isolation of the *nigricollis* group, as has already been discussed, the morphology (smaller size) and ecological differences that permit sympatry and mixed-species groups with the *mystax* group tamarins also support a distinction at the generic level. The *oedipus* group (Panama and northern Colombia) and the *midas/bicolor* groups (Guiana Shield) are entirely allopatric.

The assessment of the interspecific affinities in the tamarins has been based on pelage, size, appearance and geographical distribution (sympatry and proximity), but morphological studies in recent times have focused on the cranio-facial and dental morphology. Hanihara & Natori (1987) made dental measurements and, applying a numerical taxonomy, found that the nigricollis group (S. nigricollis and S. fuscicollis) was distinct from the mystax group (S. labiatus and S. mystax), and that the oedipus group (S. oedipus, S. geoffroyi and S. leucopus) was clearly separated from both. A cladistic analysis of dental and cranial morphology by Natori (1988) had the oedipus group again separate, and the *nigricollis* group, with inustus, separate from the mystax group and the bicolor/midas groups. Although the cladogram conformed to the species groups, it contained a trichotomy and a pentachotomy, and Natori (1988) indicated that more characters need to be included to obtain a clearer phylogenetic pattern. A study of tooth shape by Natori & Hanihara (1992) again separated out S. oedipus and S. geoffroyi, the midas group and the nigricollis group. Saguinus leucopus and S. im*perator* formed a separate pair in the dendrogam, S. inustus grouped with S. mystax and S. labiatus, and S. bicolor aligned with the midas group. A combined dental and cranial morphometric analysis of the hairy-faced tamarins by Hanihara & Natori (1989) clearly separated the nigricollis group (S. nigricollis and S. fuscicollis) from the mystax group (S. imperator, S. labiatus and S. mystax), and both were distinct from the *midas* group (S. *midas* and S. niger).

Ackermann & Cheverud (2002), also studying cranio-facial variation in the tamarins, concluded that there was divergent size selection responsible for the morphological diversification of the two major clades the large-bodied tamarins and the small-bodied *nigricollis* group – but that diversification was not due to convergent size selection alone, occurring also for non-allometric aspects of the cranial shape. Their results were consistent with Garber's (1992) proposal that selection was occurring for specialization in the use of vertical postures to forage for animal prey on large vertical supports (tree trunks). While the patterns of interspecific cranio-facial variation did not match DNA-based phylogenetic relationships, their results did largely agree with the species groups, the exceptions being that although Saguinus geoffroyi and S. oedipus came out as extreme outliers, S. leucopus was more similar to the other Saguinus, and the *bicolor* group was more similar to the *nigricollis* group than the *midas* group (Ackermann & Cheverud, 2000).

A distinctive feature of the *oedipus* group, which impressed Pocock (1917), is their small square ears, and through all the confused taxonomic history of the tamarins described above, the *oedipus* group has consistently been singled out, either as a subgenus (Lesson, 1840; Gray, 1870; Trouessart, 1904; Hershkovitz, 1949, 1958; Napier & Napier, 1967) or as a genus (Reichenbach, 1862; Elliot, 1913; Pocock, 1917; Thomas, 1922; Cabrera, 1957; Hill, 1957). In conclusion, the patterns in dental and cranial morphology agree with the species groups of *nigricollis*, *mystax*, *oedipus*, *midas* and *bicolor*.

#### GENUS LEONTOCEBUS WAGNER

Wagner, J. A. 1839. Schreber's Säug. Suppl. 1. 1839: 9th page [marked v, the 3rd page of the Übersicht]. Type species designated by Miller (1912: 380) Midas leoninus É. Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, 1812 (=Simia leonina Humboldt, 1805; =Leontopithecus fuscus Lesson, 1840). Type species designated by Elliot (1913; 194) Hapale chrysomelas Wied, 1820 (a lion tamarin). Pocock (1917; 255) followed Elliot (1913) in listing chrysomelas as the type species.

Synonyms

- Saguinus Hoffmannsegg, G. von. 1807. Mag. Gesellsch. Naturforsch. Freunde, Berlin 1: 102.
  Type species Saguinus ursula Hoffmannsegg, 1807. In part. For the nigricollis group as applied by Napier and Napier (1967), Napier (1976), Hershkovitz (1977, 1982), Rylands et al. (1993, 2000, 2012), Groves (2001, 2005), and Rylands & Mittemeier (2013).
- Marikina Lesson, R.-P. 1840. Spec. Mamm. Bim. Quadrum. p. 199. Type species Marikina bicolor [=Midas bicolor Spix, 1823]. In part. Vieira (1955) and Hershkovitz (1949) applied this genus name to all tamarins.
- Tamarin Gray, J. E. 1870. Cat. Monkeys, Lemurs, Fruit-eating Bats. Brit. Mus., p. 68. Subgenus of Midas. Type species Midas ursulus É. Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire (=Saguinus ursula Hoffmannsegg, 1807). In part. For the nigricollis group as applied by da Cruz Lima (1945).
- Tamarinus Trouessart, E.-L. 1904. Cat. Mamm., Suppl., p. 29. Type species designated by Pocock, 1917, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist, ser. 8, 20: 256 Midas mystax Spix, 1823. In part. Hill (1957) applied this genus name to the mystax and nigricollis groups, and Saguinus leucopus.

White-mouthed tamarins, nigricollis species group

Facial skin, rhinarium, ears, and external genitalia, blackish; cheeks, temple, forehead, and crown densely covered with long hairs; broad circumbuccal band thickly haired white and not encircling muzzle: long and conspicuous moustache absent in adults; ears thinly haired and mostly or entirely exposed, not concealed by mane or preauricular tufts; colour of middle or lower back more or less well defined from shoulders; terminal half or more of tail uniformly coloured, not banded, barred, or with nearly entire dorsal surface sharply defined from ventral (Hershkovitz, 1977: 621-622). Restricted to the Amazon forests, east of the Andes, east to the rios Madeira and Ji-Paraná and Mamoré-Guaporé. Smaller than other tamarins of the genus Saguinus. Mean body weights of free-ranging nigricollis group tamarins provided by Smith and Jungers (1997) are as follows: L. nigricollis males 468 g (N = 8) and females 484 g (N = 6); L. fuscicollis males 343 g (N = 69) and females 358 g (N = 55). Soini (1983, 1990) recorded the mean adult body mass of three species: L. illigeri males 292 g (N = 9) and females 296 g (N = 4); L. fuscicollis males 328 g (N = 9) and females 338 (N = 10); and L. nigrifrons males 354 g (N = 51) and females 369 (N = 41). By comparison, mean body weights of free-ranging S. mystax mystax provided by Soini and Soini (1990) are as follows: males 501 g (N = 161) and females 530 g (N = 104). Saguinus l. labiatus males average 477 g (N = 34) and females 515 g (N = 18) (F. Encarnación in Snowdon & Soini, 1988). Saguinus imperator males average 474 g (N = 4) and a female weighed 475 g (N = 1). Mean body weight of S. midas males was 533 g (N = 3) and a female weighed 450 g (N = 1) (Fleagle & Mittermeier, 1980). Mean body weight of S. oedipus males was 418 g (N = 37) and females 404 g (N = 29) (Smith & Jungers, 1997).

White-mouthed tamarins differ from other tamarins regarding strata use, locomotion, and foraging. A number are sympatric with moustached tamarins, forming mixed-species groups. They travel and forage in the lower strata, below the moustached tamarins, and, associated with this, use a higher proportion of leaping between vertical supports in their locomotory repertoire, compared with the moustached tamarins that use more quadrupedal walking and running and leaping on horizontal branches. The white-mouthed tamarins' use of the lower forest strata is independent of sympatry or allopatry with other tamarin taxa.

The *nigricollis* group tamarins forage more for concealed animal prey in specific sites (e.g. knotholes, crevices, bromeliad tanks) than the moustached tamarins, which forage more by foliage gleaning and looking along branches for exposed, camouflaged prey. Associated with their manipulative foraging, white-mouthed tamarins have hands that are longer and narrower than those of other tamarins (Bicca-Marques, 1999).

The lineage that led to the *nigricollis* species group diverged from other tamarins 11–8 Ma. The *nigricollis* species group is sister to all other tamarins.

 $Leontocebus \ fuscus$  (Lesson, 1840). Lesson's saddle-back tamarin

Leontopithecus fuscus Lesson, R.-P. 1840. Spec. Mamm. Bim. Quadrum., p. 202.

Type locality. Colombia: Plaines de Mocoa, Putumayo, between the ríos Putumayo and Caquetá.

Leontocebus nigricollis nigricollis (Spix, 1823). Spix's black-mantle tamarin

Midas nigricollis Spix, J. B. von. 1823. Sim. Vespert. Brasil., p. 28.

Type locality. Brazil: near São Paulo de Olivença, north bank of the Rio Solimões, Amazonas (Hershkovitz, 1977: 632).

*Leontocebus nigricollis graellsi* (Jiménez de la Espada, 1870), Graells' black-mantle tamarin

Midas graellsi Jimenez de la Espada, M. 1870. Bol. Rev. Univers. Madrid, p.19.

Type locality. Peru: restricted by Hershkovitz (1977: 629) to right bank Río Napo, opposite Tarapoto and above the mouth of the Río Curaray.

Leontocebus nigricollis hernandezi (Hershkovitz, 1982). Hernández-Camacho's black-mantle tamarin Saguinus nigricollis hernandezi Hershkovitz, P. 1982. Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash. 95(4): 649.

Type locality. Colombia: Río Peneya, a small tributary of the Río Caquetá, entering from left (north) about 15 km above mouth of the Río Caguán, and about 50 km in a straight line below village of La Tagua, Intendencia de Caquetá, approximately 150 m above sea level.

Leontocebus leucogenys (Gray, 1866). Andean saddleback tamarin

Midas leucogenys Gray, J. E. 1866. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. 1865: 735.

Type locality. Brazil. The type (British Museum) is a juvenile of unknown origin. Restricted by Hershkovitz (1966a) to the Department of Huánaco, Peru. Fixed by Napier (1976) as Peru, Sarayacu.

*Leontocebus illigeri* (Pucheran, 1845). Illiger's saddle-back tamarin

Hapale illigeri Pucheran, J. 1845. Rev. Mag. Zool., Paris 8: 336.

Type locality. Colombia: restricted to the Colombian bank of the Rio Solimões by Hershkovitz (1949),

but altered to the left bank of the lower Río Ucayali near its mouth in Loreto, Peru, by Hershkovitz (1966a: 328).

*Leontocebus lagonotus* (Jiménez de la Espada, 1870). Red-mantle saddle-back tamarin

Midas lagonotus Jimenez de la Espada, 1870. Bol. Rev. Univers. Madrid, p. 11.

Type locality. Peru: Destacamento (=Francisco de Orellana), confluence of the ríos Napo and Amazonas. Forests on the right bank of the Río Napo (Hershkovitz, 1977: 655).

Leontocebus tripartitus (Milne-Edwards, 1878). Golden-mantle saddle-back tamarin

Midas tripartitus Milne-Edwards, A. 1878. Bull. Nouv. Arch. Mus. Hist. Nat. Paris, sér. 2, 1: 161.

Type locality. Ecuador: Rio Napo, Oriente.

Leontocebus fuscicollis fuscicollis (Spix, 1823). Spix's saddle-back tamarin

Midas fuscicollis Spix, J. B. von. 1823. Sim. et Vespert. Brasil., p. 27, fig. 20.

Type locality. Brazil. 'It occurs near the district of São Paulo de Olivença in the forests between the Solimões and Içá'. Restricted by Hershkovitz (1977: 645) to the vicinity of São Paulo de Olivença on the south bank of the Rio Solimões.

Leontocebus fuscicollis avilapiresi (Hershkovitz, 1966). Ávila Pires' saddle-back tamarin

Saguinus fuscicollis avilapiresi Hershkovitz, P. 1966. Folia Primatol. 4: 386.

Type locality. Brazil: mouth of the Lago de Tefé, Rio Solimões, Amazonas.

Leontocebus fuscicollis primitivus (Hershkovitz, 1977). Hershkovitz's saddle-back tamarin

Saguinus fuscicollis primitivus Hershkovitz, P. 1977. Living New World Monkeys (Platyrrhini) With an Introduction to Primates, 1: 1022.

Type locality. Brazil: Rio Juruá, Amazonas.

*Leontocebus fuscicollis mura* (Röhe, Silva-Júnior, Sampaio & Rylands, 2009). Gray-fronted saddle-back tamarin

Saguinus fuscicollis mura Röhe, F., Silva-Júnior, J. de S., Sampaio, R. & Rylands, A. B. 2009. Int. J. Primatol. 30: 536.

Type locality. Brazil: Campina Tupana, near the Rio Tupana, interfluvium of the lowest (northernmost) reaches of the rios Madeira and Purus, north (left bank) of the Rio Tupana, tributary of the Rio Madeira; 04°09'26.5"S; 60°07'56.0"W. Leontocebus nigrifrons (I. Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, 1850). Geoffroy's saddle-back tamarin

Hapale nigrifrons Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, I. 1850. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 31: 875.

Type locality. Unknown. Restricted by Hershkovitz (1977: 646) to lower Río Yavarí, Loreto, Peru.

*Leontocebus cruzlimai* (Hershkovitz, 1966). Cruz Lima's saddle-back tamarin

Saguinus fuscicollis cruzlimai Hershkovitz, P. 1966. Folia Primatol. 4: 388.

Type locality. Brazil: said to be from upper Rio Purus region, Amazonas. The description by Hershkovitz (1966b) was based on a painting by Eládio da Cruz Lima published in his book *Mammals of Amazônia*. Volume 1. General Introduction and Primates (1945). The painting was of a specimen in the Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi, Belém. The specimen was lost and never seen by Hershkovitz (1966b). Sampaio et al. (2015) designated a neotype from the 'Vicinity of the Cujuri community (about six families) in the Purus National Forest, left bank of the Rio Inauini (left bank affluent of the middle Rio Purus), municipality of Pauini, Amazonas, Brazil (8°11'12.3" S; 67°11'12.3"W)'.

*Leontocebus weddelli weddelli* (Deville, 1849). Weddell's saddle-back tamarin

Midas weddelli Deville, É. 1849. Rev. Mag. Zool., 2<sup>e</sup> série, 1, 1849: 55.

Type locality. Bolivia, Apolobamba (=Caupolicán) Province, La Paz (Hershkovitz, 1977: 661).

Leontocebus weddelli melanoleucus (Miranda Ribeiro, 1912). White saddle-back tamarin, White mantled tamarin

Mico melanoleucus Miranda Ribeiro, A. de. 1912. Brasil. Rundsch. 2(1): 22.

Type locality. Brazil: Pará. Restricted to Santo Antônio, Rio Eirú, Amazonas, by de Carvalho (1957b: 222).

*Leontocebus weddelli crandalli* (Hershkovitz, 1966). Crandall's saddle-back tamarin

Saguinus fuscicollis crandalli Hershkovitz, P. 1966. Folia Primatol. 4: 389.

Type locality. Unknown provenance.

#### Genus SAGUINUS Hoffmannsegg

Hoffmannsegg, G. von. 1807. Mag. Gesellsch. Naturforsch. Freunde, Berlin 1: 102. Type species, by monotypy, Saguinus ursula Hoffmannsegg, 1807. Synonyms

- Cercopithecus Gronovius, L. T. 1763. Zoophylacium Gronovianus, fasc. 1, p. 5. Included Simia midas Linnaeus, 1758, designated by Elliot (1911) as the type species. Name unavailable: Opinion 89, ICZN 1925.
- Midas Geoffroy-Saint-Hilaire, É. 1812. Rec. Obs. Zool. Anat. Comp. p.361. Type species Midas ursulus É. Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire (=Saguinus ursula Hoffmannsegg, 1807). Preoccupied by Midas Latreille, 1796, a genus of Diptera.
- Leontocebus Wagner, J. A. 1839. Schreber's Säug. Suppl. 1. 1839: xi [marked v]. Type species designated by Miller (1912; 380) Midas leoninus É. Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, 1812 [=Simia leonina Humboldt, 1805; =Leontopithecus fuscus Lesson, 1840]. Type species designated by Elliot (1913; 194) Hapale chrysomelas Wied, 1820. Pocock (1917; 255) followed Elliot (1913) in listing chrysomelas as the type species. In part. Elliot (1912) and Cabrera (1957) applied this genus name to all of the tamarins.
- Marikina Lesson, R.-P. 1840. Spec. Mamm. Bim. Quadrum. p. 199. Type species Marikina bicolor [=Midas bicolor Spix, 1823].
- Oedipus Lesson, R.-P. 1840. Spec. Mamm. Bim. Quadrum. 184, 197–200, 1840. Subgenus of Midas. Type species Oedipus titi (=Simia oedipus Linnaeus), from Pará, Brazil. Name preoccupied by Oedipus Tschudi, 1838; a genus of Amphibia.
- Oedipomidas Reichenbach, H. G. L. 1862. Vollständ. Naturgesch. Affen. 5, pl. 11, figs 18–20, 1862. New name for Oedipus Lesson, 1840. Type species designated by Elliot (1913: 213): Simia oedipus Linnaeus.
- Hapanella Gray, J. E. 1870. Cat. Monkeys, Lemurs and Fruit-eating Bats, Brit. Mus., 65, 1870.
  Subgenus of Oedipus Lesson. Type Hapale geoffroyi Pucheran, 1845 [=Saguinus geoffroyi].
- Mystax Gray, J. E. 1870. Cat. Monkeys, Lemurs and Fruit-eating Bats, Brit. Mus., 66, 1870. (subgenus of Midas). Type species Midas mystax Spix, and included M. labiatus É. Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire and M. Rufiventer Gray. Preoccupied by Mystax Stephens, 1829; a genus of Trichoptera.
- Tamarin Gray, J. E. 1870. Cat. Monkeys, Lemurs, Fruit-eating Bats. Brit. Mus., p. 68. Subgenus of Midas. Type species Midas ursulus É. Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire (=Saguinus ursula Hoffmannsegg, 1807).
- Seniocebus Gray, J. E. 1870. Cat. Monkeys, Lemurs, Fruit-eating Bats. Brit. Mus., p. 68. Type species Seniocebus bicolor (=Midas bicolor Spix, 1823).
- Tamarinus Trouessart, E.-L. 1904. Cat. Mamm., Suppl., p.29. Type species designated by Pocock,

1917, Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. ser. 8, 20: 256, Midas mystax Spix, 1823.

Moustached tamarins and the mottled-face tamarin, *mystax* species group

Saguinus mystax mystax (Spix, 1823). Spix's moustached tamarin

Midas mystax Spix, J. B. von. 1823. Sim. Vespert. Brasil., p. 29, pl. 22.

Type locality. Brazil: near São Paulo de Olivença, south bank of Rio Solimões, Amazonas.

Saguinus mystax pileatus (I. Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire & Deville, 1848). Red-cap moustached tamarin

Midas pileatus Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, I & Deville, E. 1848. Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci., Paris 27: 499.

Type locality. Brazil: Rio Javarí. Near Pebas, Peru. Hershkovitz (1977) informed that it occurs in neither location and restricted it to Lago de Tefé (Ega), near its mouth at the Rio Solimões, Brazil, where collections were made by the Castelnau Expedition.

Saguinus mystax pluto (Lönnberg, 1926). Whiterump moustached tamarin

Mystax pluto Lönnberg, E. 1926. Ark. Zool., Stockholm 18B(9): 1.

Type locality. Brazil: Ayapuá [Lago], Rio Purus, Amazonas. Lago Ayapuá is west of the Rio Purus. Hershkovitz (1977) believed that the type locality would in fact have been opposite the Lago Ayapuá, on the right bank of the Rio Purus, due to his conviction that its range was between the rios Purus and Madeira, and as such in sympatry with the red-bellied tamarin (*labiatus*). Based on the three available specimen localities used by Hershkovitz (1977) to delimit the distribution of this subspecies, Rylands *et al.* (1993) argued that in fact the more likely range for this very little known tamarin would lie between the rios Purus and Coari, west of the Purus. *Tamarinus mystax pileatus* occurs west of the Rio Coarí to the Rio Tefé.

Saguinus labiatus labiatus (É. Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, 1812). Geoffroy's red-bellied tamarin

Simia labiata Geoffroy-Saint-Hilaire, É. 1812. Rec. Observ. Zool. Anat. Comp. 1: 361.

Type locality: 'Probablement le Brésil'; restricted by Cabrera (1957) to Lago Joanacan [=Lago Janauacá], Amazonas, Brazil; type locality of *Midas erythrogaster* Reichenbach, 1862. Lago Janauacá is in the supposed range of *rufiventer* Gray, considered a synonym by Cabrera (1957). The type locality suggested by Hershkovitz (1977: 692) is 'somewhere between the rios Purus and Madeira, south of the Rio Ipixuna, in the region of *griseovertex* Goeldi, 1907'. The type of *Midas griseovertex* was collected during Goeldi Museum expeditions (1903–1904) in the Purus and Acre regions (Goeldi, 1907). de Carvalho (1959: 460) restricted it to upper Rio Purus, Bom Lugar, Amazonas.

Saguinus labiatus thomasi (Goeldi, 1907). Thomas's red-bellied tamarin

Midas thomasi Goeldi. E. A. 1907. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. (1907): 89.

Type locality. Brazil: Tonantins, Rio Tonantins, north bank of the Rio Amazonas [=Solimões], below mouth of Rio Içá, Amazonas, Brazil (Hershkovitz, 1977).

Saguinus labiatus rufiventer (Gray, 1843). Gray's red-bellied tamarin

Jacchus rufiventer Gray, J. E. 1843. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. 1st series, 12: 398.

Type locality. Unknown. Gray (1843) informed that it came from Mexico. Oldfield Thomas told Goeldi (1907) that the specimen had been obtained from a dealer. Recognized as valid by Groves (2001), who indicated that it occurred south of the Rio Solimões between the rios Purus and Madeira, below the Rio Ipixuna, based on Hershkovitz's (1977) description of geographical variation in S. labiatus labiatus. According to Cabrera (1957), the type locality of Midas erythrogaster Reichenbach, 1862, is Lago Janauacá, south of the Rio Solimões, Amazonas, Brazil, and this would be appropriate for this subspecies, presuming that erythrogaster is a synonym. Reichenbach (1862: 14) stated that his *Midas erythrogaster* is very similar to M. rufiventer.

Saguinus imperator imperator (Goeldi, 1907). Blackchinned emperor tamarin

Midas imperator Goeldi, E. A. 1907. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. (1907): 93.

Type locality. Brazil: Upper Rio Purus. Two [cotypes] from the Rio Acre and three from the upper Rio Purus. de Carvalho (1959: 460) designated a lectotype from 'upper Rio Purus, state of Amazonas, in Bom Lugar (or perhaps Monte Verde)'. From a map published by Snethlage (1909), a member of the expedition that resulted in the collection of the types of this species, Hershkovitz (1979) placed Monte Verde on the right bank of the Rio Purus, just above the mouth of the Rio Acre, and Bom Lugar on the right bank the Rio Purus just below the mouth of the Acre, a little north of what is today the town of Boca do Acre. From our understanding of the distributions of *S. labiatus labiatus* and *S. i. imperator* today, it is evident that Monte Verde, not Bom Lugar, should be the type locality for the black-chinned emperor tamarin. Goeldi's (1907) *Midas griseovertex*, a junior synonym of *S. l. labiatus*, was collected in Bom Lugar (de Carvalho, 1959). As such, Monte Verde should be considered the type locality, and not Bom Lugar.

Saguinus imperator subgrisescens (Lönnberg, 1940). Bearded emperor tamarin

Mystax imperator subgrisescens Lönnberg, E. 1940. Ark. Zool., Stockholm 32A(10): 9.

Type locality. Brazil: Santo Antônio, western bank of the Rio Eiru, near its confluence with the upper Rio Juruá, Amazonas.

Saguinus inustus (Schwarz, 1951). Mottled-face tamarin

Leontocebus midas inustus Schwarz, E. 1951. Am. Mus. Novit. (1508): 1.

Type locality. Brazil: Tabocal, between Rio Japurá and Rio Negro, state of Amazonas.

Midas tamarins, midas species group

Saguinus midas (Linnaeus, 1758). Midas tamarin, golden-handed tamarin

[Simia] midas Linnaeus, C. 1758. Syst. Nat. 10th ed., p. 28.

Type locality. 'America' as given by Linnaeus. Restricted by Schreber (1775, *Säugtiere* 1: 132) to Suriname (Hershkovitz, 1977).

Saguinus niger (É. Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, 1803). Western black-handed tamarin

Sagouin niger Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire, É. 1803. Cat. Mamm. Mus. Hist. Nat., Paris, p. 13.

Type locality. Said to be from Cayenne, but restricted by Hershkovitz (1977) to Belém do Pará, Pará, the type locality of *ursula* Hoffmannsegg. Hershkovitz considered *ursula* to be a junior synonym. Voss, Lunde, and Simmons (2001) designated a neotype of *niger* from Cametá, western bank of the Rio Tocantins, Pará, Brazil. Gregorin and de Vivo (2013) re-validated *ursulus*.

Saguinus ursulus Hoffmannsegg, 1807. Eastern black-handed tamarin

Saguinus ursula Hoffmannsegg, G. von. 1807. Mag. Gesell. Naturf. Fr., Berlin 1: 102.

Type locality. Brazil: Vicinity of Pará (=Belém do Pará), Pará.

Brazilian bare-face tamarins, *Saguinus bicolor* species group

Saguinus bicolor (Spix, 1823). Pied tamarin, pied bare-face tamarin

Midas bicolor Spix, J. B. von. 1823. Sim. Vespert. Brasil., p. 30, pl. 24.

Type locality. Brazil: near the village of Rio Negro (=Manaus), Barra do Rio Negro, Amazonas.

Saguinus martinsi martinsi (Thomas, 1912). Martins' bare-face tamarin

Leontocebus martinsi Thomas, O. 1912. Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist. 8th ser., 9: 85.

Type locality. Brazil, Faro, north side of the Rio Amazonas, near mouth of Rio Nhamundá, Pará.

Saguinus martinsi ochraceus Hershkovitz, 1966. Ochraceous bare-face tamarin

Saguinus bicolor ochraceus Hershkovitz, P. 1966. Folia Primatol. 4: 391.

Type locality. Brazil: mouth of Rio Paratucú, a right bank tributary of the Nhamundá, Amazonas.

Colombian and Panamanian bare-face tamarins, *oedipus* species group

Saguinus oedipus (Linnaeus, 1758). Cotton-top tamarin

[Simia] oedipus Linnaeus, C. 1758. Syst. Nat. 10th ed., p. 28.

Type locality. 'America' as given by Linnaeus. Determined as western Colombia by Humboldt (1812, *Rec. Obs. Zool. Anat. Comp.* p. 337), and restricted to the lower Río Sinú, Córdoba, Colombia, by Hershkovitz (1949: 415).

Saguinus geoffroyi (Pucheran, 1845). Geoffroy's tamarin

Hapale geoffroyi Pucheran, J. 1845. Rev. Mag. Zool. Paris, 8: 336.

Type locality. 'Panamá'. Restricted to the Canal Zone by Hershkovitz (1949: 417).

Saguinus leucopus (Günther, 1877). Silvery-brown bare-face tamarin, white-footed tamarin

Hapale leucopus Günther, A. 1877. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond. (1876): 743.

Type locality. Colombia: near Medellin, Antioquia.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are most grateful to an anonymous reviewer who provided excellent suggestions and significant insights regarding our review of the taxonomy and taxonomic history of these callitrichids. Our sincere thanks to Stephen D. Nash, Conservation International, for drawing the maps and allowing us to use his illustrations of the tamarins.

#### REFERENCES

- Ackermann RR, Cheverud JM. 2000. Phenotypic covariance structure in tamarins (genus Saguinus): a comparison of variation patterns using matrix correlation and common principal component analysis. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 111: 489–501.
- Ackermann RR, Cheverud JM. 2002. Discerning evolutionary processes in patterns of tamarin (genus Saguinus) craniofacial varation. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 117: 260–271.
- Aquino R, López L, Garcia G, Heymann EW. 2014. Diversity, abundance and habitats of the primates in the Río Curaray basin, Peruvian Amazonia. *Primate Conservation* 28: 1–8.
- Araripe J, Tagliaro CH, Rêgo PS, Sampaio I, Ferrari SF, Schneider H. 2008. Molecular phylogenetics of largebodied tamarins, *Saguinus* spp. (Primates, Platyrrhini). *Zoologica Scripta* 37: 461–467.
- Bicca-Marques JC. 1999. Hand specialization, sympatry, and mixed-species associations in callitrichines. *Journal of Human Evolution* 36: 349–378.
- Boubli JP, Ribas C, Lynch Alfaro JW, Alfaro ME, da Silva MN, Pinho GM, Farias IP. 2015. Spatial and temporal patterns of diversification on the Amazon: a test of the riverine hypothesis for all diurnal primates of Rio Negro and Rio Branco in Brazil. *Molecular Phylogenetics* and Evolution 82: 400–412.
- Buchanan-Smith HM. 1999. Tamarin polyspecific associations: forest utilization and stability of mixed-species groups. *Primates* 40: 233–247.
- Buckner JC, Lynch Alfaro J, Rylands AB, Alfaro ME. 2015. Biogeography of the marmosets and tamarins (Callitrichidae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 82: 413– 425.
- Cabrera A. 1956. Sobre la identificación de Simia leonina Humboldt (Mammalia, Primates). Neotropica, Notas Zoologicas Sudamericanas 2: 49–53.
- Cabrera A. 1957. Catalogo de los mamíferos de América del Sur. Revista del Museo Argentino de Ciencias Naturales "Bernardino Rivadavia" 4:1–307.
- Calegaro-Marques C, Bicca-Marques JC, de Azevedo MAO. 1995. Two breeding females in a Saguinus fuscicollis weddelli group. Neotropical Primates 3: 183.
- Canavez FC, Moreira MAM, Simon F, Parham P, Seuánez HN. 1999. Phylogenetic relationships of the Callitrichinae (Platyrrhini, Primates) based on β<sub>2</sub>-microglobulin DNA sequences. American Journal of Primatology 48: 225–236.
- de Carvalho CT. 1957a. Nova subespécie de saguim da Amazônia (Callithricidae, Primates). Revista Brasileira de Biologia 17: 219–222.
- de Carvalho CT. 1957b. Alguns mamíferos do Acre occidental. Boletim do Museu Paraense Emilio Goeldi, Zoologia 6: 1–26.

- de Carvalho CT. 1959. Lectótipos e localidades das espécies de Goeldi (Primates, Carnivora e Rodentia). *Revista Brasileira de Biologia* 19: 459–461.
- Cheverud JM, Moore AJ. 1990. Subspecific variation in the saddle-back tamarin (Saguinus fuscicollis). American Journal of Primatology 21: 1–15.
- **Coimbra-Filho AF. 1990.** Sistemática, distribuição geográfica e situação atual dos símios brasileiros (Platyrrhini – Primates). *Revista Brasileira de Biologia* **50:** 1063–1079.
- **Coimbra-Filho AF, Pissinatti A, Rylands AB. 1997.** On the taxonomic position of *Saguinus midas niger* and an experimental hybrid with *S. b. bicolor* (Callitrichidae, Primates). In: Ferrari SF, Schneider H, eds. *A primatologia no Brasil – 5.* Belém: Universidade Federal do Pará, Sociedade Brasileira de Primatologia, 289–295.
- **Cracraft J. 1983.** Species concepts and speciation analysis. *Current Ornithology* **1:** 159–187.
- da Cruz Lima E. 1945. Mammals of Amazônia. General introduction and primates. Vol. 1. Belém do Pará, Brazil: Contribuições do Museu Paraense Emílio Goeldi de História Natural e Etnografia.
- da Cunha DB, Monteiro E, Vallinoto M, Sampaio I, Ferrari SF, Schneider H. 2011. A molecular phylogeny of the tamarins (genus *Saguinus*) based on five nuclear sequence data from regions contain Alu insertions. *American Journal* of *Physical Anthropology* **146**: 385–391.
- Defler TR. 1994. La conservación de primates en Colombia. Trianea (Acta Científica INDERENA) 5: 255–287.
- **Defler TR. 2004.** *Primates of Colombia*. Washington DC: Conservation International.
- Digby LJ, Ferrari SF, Saltzman W. 2011. Callitrichines. The role of competition in cooperatively breeding species. In: Campbell CJ, Fuentes A, Mackinnon KC, Bearder SK, Stumpf RM, eds. *Primates in perspective*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 91–107.
- **Elliot DG. 1911.** The generic name of *Cercopithecus*. *Bulletin* of the American Museum of Natural History **30:** 31–33.
- **Elliot DG. 1913.** A review of primates, Vol. 1. New York: American Museum of Natural History.
- Epple G, Belcher AM, Küderling I, Zeller U, Scolnick L, Greenfield KL, Smith AB III. 1993. Making sense out of scents: species differences in scent glands, scent-marking behaviour, and scent-mark composition in the Callitrichidae. In: Rylands AB, ed. *Marmosets and tamarins: systematics, behaviour, and ecology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 123–151.
- Fleagle JG, Mittermeier RA. 1980. Locomotor behavior, body size, and comparative ecology of seven Surinam monkeys. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 52: 301–314.
- Fooden J. 1975. Taxonomy and evolution of the liontail and pigtail macaques (Primates: Cercopithecidae). *Fieldiana Zoology* 67: 1–169.
- **Garber PA. 1991.** A comparative study of positional behavior in three species of tamarin monkeys. *Primates* **32**: 219–230.
- Garber PA. 1992. Vertical clinging, small body size, and the evolution of feeding adaptations in the Callitrichinae. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* 88: 469–482.

- Garber PA. 1993. Feeding ecology and behaviour of the genus Saguinus. In: Rylands AB, ed. Marmosets and tamarins: systematics, behaviour, and ecology. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 273–295.
- Garber PA, Porter LM, Spross J, Di Fiore A. 2016. Tamarins: insights into monogamous and non-monogamous single female social and breeding systems. *American Journal of Primatology* in press, doi: 10.1002/ajp.22370.
- **Garbino GST. 2015.** How many marmoset (Primates: Cebidae: Callitrichinae) genera are there? A phylogenetic analysis based on multiple morphological systems. *Cladistics* **31**: 652–678.
- Geoffroy Saint-Hilaire É. 1812. Tableau des quadrumanes, ou des animaus composant le premier ordre de la classe des mammifères. Annales Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris 19: 85–175.
- Goeldi EA. 1907. On some new and insufficiently known species of marmoset monkeys from the Amazonian region. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* 1907: 88–99.
- Goldizen AW. 1988. Tamarin and marmoset mating systems: unusual flexibility. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 3: 36–40.
- Goldizen AW, Mendelson J, van Vlaardingen M, Terborgh J. 1996. Saddle-back tamarin (Saguinus fuscicollis) reproductive strategies: evidence from a thirteen-year study of a marked population. American Journal of Primatology 38: 57–83.
- Goodman M, Porter CA, Czelusniak J, Page SL, Schneider H, Shoshani J, Gunnell G, Groves CP. 1998.
  Toward a phylogenetic classification of primates based on DNA evidence complemented by fossil evidence. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 9: 585–598.
- **Gray JE. 1870.** Catalogue of monkeys, lemurs, and fruit-eating bats in the collection of the British Museum. London: British Museum (Natural History).
- Gregorin R, de Vivo M. 2013. Revalidation of Saguinus ursula Hoffmannsegg (Primates: Cebidae: Callitrichinae). Zootaxa 3721: 172–182.
- **Groves CP. 2001.** *Primate taxonomy*. Washington DC: Smithsonian Institution Press.
- Groves CP. 2004. The what, why and how of primate taxonomy. *International Journal of Primatology* 25: 1105–1126.
- Groves CP. 2005. Order primates. In: Wilson DE, Reeder DM, eds. Mammal species of the world: a taxonomic and geographic reference, 3rd edn, Vol. 1. Baltimore, MD: Johns Hopkins University Press, 111–184.
- Groves CP. 2012. Species concept in primates. American Journal of Primatology 74: 687–691.
- Groves CP. 2014. The species in primatology. *Evolutionary* Anthropology 23: 2–4.
- Hanihara T, Natori M. 1987. Preliminary analysis of numerical taxonomy of the genus *Saguinus* based on dental measurements. *Primates* 28: 517–523.
- Hanihara T, Natori M. 1989. Evolutionary trends of the hairy-face *Saguinus* in terms of the dental and cranial morphology. *Primates* 30: 531–541.
- Hernández-Camacho J, Cooper RW. 1976. The non-human primates of Colombia. In: Thorington RW Jr, Heltne PG, eds.

Neotropical primates: field studies and conservation. Washington, DC: National Academy of Sciences, 35–69.

- Hernández-Camacho J, Defler TR. 1989. Algunos aspectos de la conservación de primates no-humanos en Colombia. In: Saavedra CJ, Mittermeier RA, Santos IB, eds. *La primatología en Latinoamérica*. Washington, DC: World Wildlife Fund, 67–100.
- Hershkovitz P. 1949. Mammals of northern Colombia. Preliminary report no. 4: monkeys (Primates), with taxonomic revisions of some forms. *Proceedings of the United States National Museum* 98: 323–427.
- Hershkovitz P. 1957. The systematic position of the marmoset, Simia leonina Humboldt (Primates). Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 70: 17–20.
- Hershkovitz P. 1958. Type localities and nomenclature of some American primates with remarks on secondary homonyms. Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 71: 53-56.
- Hershkovitz P. 1966a. On the identification of some marmosets family Callithricidae (Primates). Mammalia 30: 327–332.
- Hershkovitz P. 1966b. Taxonomic notes on tamarins, genus Saguinus (Callithricidae, Primates) with descriptions of four new forms. *Folia Primatologica* 4: 381–395.
- Hershkovitz P. 1977. Living New World monkeys, with an introduction to primates, Vol. 1. Chicago: Chicago University Press.
- Hershkovitz P. 1979. Races of the emperor tamarin, Saguinus imperator Goeldi (Callitrichidae, Primates). Primates 20: 277–287.
- Hershkovitz P. 1982. Subspecies and geographic distribution of black-mantle tamarins Saguinus nigricollis Spix (Primates: Callitrichidae). Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington 95: 647–656.
- Heymann EW. 1997. The relationship between body size and mixed-species troops of tamarins. *Folia Primatologica* 68: 287–295.
- Heymann EW. 2000a. Field observations of the goldenmantled tamarin, *Saguinus tripartitus*, on the Río Curaray, Peruvian Amazonia. *Folia Primatologica* 71: 392–398.
- Heymann EW. 2000b. The number of adult males in callitrichine groups and its implications for callitrichine social evolution. In: Kappeler PM, ed. *Primate males*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 64–71.
- Heymann EW. 2001. Interspecific variation of scent-marking behaviour in wild tamarins, *Saguinus mystax* and *Saguinus* fuscicollis. Folia Primatologica 72: 253–267.
- Heymann EW, Buchanan-Smith HM. 2000. The behavioural ecology of mixed-species troops of callitrichine primates. *Biological Reviews* 75: 169–190.
- Heymann EW, Encarnación-C F, Canaquin-Y JE. 2002. Primates of the Río Curaray, northern Peruvian Amazon. International Journal of Primatology 23: 191–201.
- Hill WCO. 1957. Primates. Comparative anatomy and taxonomy. III. Pithecoidea Platyrrhini (Families Hapalidae and Callimiconidae). Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.

- Hill WCO. 1960. Primates. Comparative anatomy and taxonomy IV. Cebidae Part A. Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.
- von Humboldt A. 1805–1832. Recueil d'observations zoologie et d'anatomie comparée. France: Paris.
- Jacobs Cropp S, Larson A, Cheverud JM. 1999. Historical biogeography of tamarins, genus *Saguinus*: the molecular phylogenetic evidence. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology* **108**: 65–89.
- Jacobs SC, Larson A, Cheverud JM. 1995. Phylogenetic relationships and orthogenetic evolution of coat color among tamarins (genus *Saguinus*). *Systematic Biology* **44**: 515– 532.
- Kanazawa E, Rosenberger AL. 1988. Reduction index of the upper M2 in marmosets. *Primates* 29: 525–533.
- Kappeler PM, van Schaik CP. 2002. Evolution of primate social systems. International Journal of Primatology 23: 707–740.
- Kleiman DG. 1981. Leontopithecus rosalia. Mammalian Species 148: 1–7.
- Lesson R-P. 1840. Species des mammifères bimanes et quadrumanes; suivi d'un mémoire sur les oryctéropes. Paris: J.-B. Baillière.
- Lynch Alfaro JW, Silva-Júnior JS, Rylands AB. 2012. How different are robust and gracile capuchin monkeys? An argument for the use of *Sapajus* and *Cebus*. *American Journal of Primatology* **74:** 273–286.
- Maddison WP. 1997. Gene trees in species trees. Systematic Biology 46: 523–536.
- Matauschek C. 2010. Taxonomy, phylogeny and distribution of tamarins (genus *Saguinus* Hoffmannsegg, 1807). Doctoral Dissertation, Georg-August Universität, Göttingen.
- Matauschek C, Roos C, Heymann EW. 2011. Mitochondrial phylogeny of tamarins (*Saguinus* Hoffmannsegg, 1807) with taxonomic and biogeographic implications for the S. nigricollis species group. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 144: 564–574.
- Meireles C, Sampaio I, Schneider H, Ferrari SF, Coimbra-Filho AF, Pissinatti A, Schneider MPC. 1997. A comparative study of eleven protein systems in tamarins, genus Saguinus (Platyrrhini, Callitrichinae). Brazilian Journal of Genetics 20: 13–19.
- Miller GS Jr. 1912. List of the North American land mammals in the United States National Museum, 1911. Bulletin of the United States National Museum 79: 1–455.
- Moore AJ, Cheverud JM. 1992. Systematics of the Saguinus oedipus group of the bare-face tamarins: evidence from facial morphology. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 89: 73–84.
- Nadjafzadeh MN, Heymann EW. 2008. Prey foraging of red titi monkeys, *Callicebus cupreus*, in comparison to sympatric tamarins, *Saguinus mystax* and *Saguinus fuscicollis*. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 135: 56-63.
- Napier PH. 1976. Catalogue of primates in the British Museum (Natural History). Part 1: families Callitrichidae and Cebidae. London: British Museum (Natural History).

- Napier JR, Napier PH. 1967. A handbook of living primates. London: Academic Press.
- Natori M. 1988. A cladistic analysis of interspecific relationships of *Saguinus*. *Primates* **29**: 263–276.
- Natori M, Hanihara T. 1992. Variations in dental measurements between *Saguinus* species and their systematic relationships. *Folia Primatologica* 58: 84–92.
- Nyakatura JA, Heymann EW. 2010. Effects of support size and orientation on symmetric gaits in free-ranging tamarins of Amazonian Peru: implications for the functional significance of primate gait sequence patterns. *Journal of Human Evolution* 58: 242–251.
- Opazo JC, Wildman DE, Prychitko T, Johnson RM, Goodman M. 2006. Phylogenetic relationships and divergence times among New World monkeys (Platyrrhini, Primates). Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 40: 274–280.
- **Osterholz M, Walter L, Roos C. 2009.** Retropositional events consolidate the branching order of New World monkey genera. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **50**: 507–513.
- Palmer TS. 1904. Index generum mammalium: a list of the genera and families of mammals. North American Fauna
  23: 1–984. Washington, DC: US Department of Agriculture.
- Perelman P, Johnson WE, Roos C, Seuánez HN, Horvath JE, Moreira MAM, Kessing B, Pontius J, Roelke M, Rumpler Y, Schneider MPC, Silva A, O'Brien SJ, Pecon-Slattery J. 2011. A molecular phylogeny of living primates. *PLoS Genetics* 73: e1001342.
- Peres CA. 1993a. Notes on the primates of the Juruá River, western Brazilian Amazonia. *Folia Primatologica* 61: 97– 103.
- **Peres CA. 1993b.** Diet and feeding ecology of saddle-back (*Saguinus fuscicollis*) and moustached (*S. mystax*) tamarins in Amazonian terra firme forest. *Journal of Zoology, London* **230**: 567–592.
- Peres CA, Patton JL, da Silva MNF. 1996. Riverine barriers and gene flow in Amazonian saddle-back tamarins. *Folia Primatologica* 67: 113–124.
- Perez SI, Klaczo J, dos Reis SF. 2012. Species tree estimation for a deep phylogenetic divergence in the New World monkeys (Primates: Platyrrhini). *Molecular Phylogenetics* and Evolution 65: 621–630.
- **Pocock RI. 1917.** The genera of Hapalidae (marmosets). Annals and Magazine of Natural History, 8th series, **20**: 247–258.
- Ray DA, Xing Jinchuan, Hedges DJ, Hall MA, Laborde ME, Anders BA, White BR, Stoilova N, Fowlkes JD, Landry KE, Chemnick LG, Ryder OA, Batzer MA. 2005. Alu insertion loci and platyrrhine primate phylogeny. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 35: 117–126.
- Reichenbach HGL. 1862. Die vollständigste Naturgeschichte der Affen. Dresden & Leipzig: Expedition der vollständigsten Naturgeschichte.
- Röhe F, Silva-Júnior JS, Sampaio R, Rylands AB. 2009. A new subspecies of Saguinus fuscicollis (Primates, Callitrichidae). International Journal of Primatology 30: 533– 551.

- Rylands AB, Mittermeier RA. 2008. The diversity of the New World primates: an annotated taxonomy. In: Garber PA, Estrada A, Bicca-Marques JC, Heymann EW, Strier KB, eds. South American primates: comparative perspectives in the study of behavior, ecology, and conservation. New York: Springer, 23–54.
- Rylands AB, Mittermeier RA. 2013. Family Callitrichidae (marmosets and tamarins). In: Mittermeier RA, Rylands AB, Wilson DE, eds. *Handbook of the mammals of the world. Primates*, Vol. 3. Barcelona: Lynx Edicions, 262– 346.
- Rylands AB, Mittermeier RA. 2014. Primate taxonomy: species and conservation. *Evolutionary Anthropology* 23: 8–10.
- Rylands AB, Coimbra-Filho AF, Mittermeier RA. 1993. Systematics, distributions, and some notes on the conservation status of the Callitrichidae. In: Rylands AB, ed. Marmosets and tamarins: systematics, behaviour and ecology. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 11–77.
- Rylands AB, Groves CP, Mittermeier RA, Cortés-Ortiz L, Hines JJ. 2006. Taxonomy and distributions of Mesoamerican primates. In: Estrada A, Garber PA, Pavelka M, Luecke L, eds. New perspectives in the study of Mesoamerican primates: distribution, ecology, behavior and conservation. New York: Springer, 29–79.
- Rylands AB, Coimbra-Filho AF, Mittermeier RA. 2009. The systematics and distributions of the marmosets (*Callithrix, Callibella, Cebuella*, and *Mico*) and callimico (*Callimico*) (Callitrichidae, Primates). In: Ford SM, Porter L, Davis LC, eds. *The smallest anthropoids: the marmoset/Callimico radiation*. New York: Springer, 25–61.
- Rylands AB, Matauschek C, Aquino R, Encarnación F, Heymann EW, de la Torre S, Mittermeier RA. 2011. The range of the golden-mantle tamarin, *Saguinus tripartitus* (Milne Edwards, 1878): distributions and sympatry of four tamarins in Colombia, Ecuador, and northern Peru. *Primates* 52: 25–39.
- **Rylands AB, Mittermeier RA, Silva-Júnior JS. 2012.** Neotropical primates: taxonomy and recently described species and subspecies. *International Zoo Yearbook* **46**:11–24.
- Sampaio R, Röhe F, Pinho G, Silva-Júnior JS, Farias IP, Rylands AB. 2015. Re-description and assessment of the taxonomic status of *Saguinus fuscicollis cruzlimai* Hershkovitz, 1966 (Primates, Callitrichinae). *Primates* 56: 1–14.
- Schneider H, Sampaio I. 2015. The systematics and evolution of New World primates – a review. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 82: 348–357.
- Schneider H, Bernardi JAR, da Cunha DB, Tagliaro CH, Vallinoto M, Ferrari SF, Sampaio I. 2012. A molecular analysis of the evolutionary relationships in the Callitrichinae, with emphasis on the position of the dwarf marmoset. *Zoologica Scripta* **41**: 1–10.
- Shaw G. 1800. General zoology or systematic natural history. Part 1. Mammalia, Vol. 1. London: Kearsley.
- Sherborn CD. 1891. On the dates of the parts, plates, and text of Schreber's 'Säugthiere'. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* 1891: 587–591.
- Sherborn CD. 1922–1932. Index animalium sive index nominum quae ab A.D. MDCCLVII generibus et speciebus animal-

ium imposita sunt. Sectio secunda. A. kalendis ianuariis, MDCCCL usque ad finem Decembris, MDCCCL. London: Longmans, Green & Co. and British Museum (Natural History).

- Simpson GG. 1945. The principles of classification and a classification of mammals. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History 85: 1–350.
- Skinner C. 1991. Justification for reclassifying Geoffroy's tamarin from Saguinus oedipus geoffroyi to Saguinus geoffroyi. Primate Report 31: 77–83.
- Smith AC. 2000. Interspecific differences in prey captured by associating saddleback (*Saguinus fuscicollis*) and moustached (*Saguinus mystax*) tamarins. *Journal of Zoology*, *London* 251: 315–324.
- Smith RJ, Jungers WL. 1997. Body mass in comparative primatology. Journal of Human Evolution 32: 523–559.
- Snethlage E. 1909. Sobre uma coleccão de aves do Rio Purus. Boletim do Museu Goeldi (Museu Paraense) 5: 43–76.
- Snowdon CT, Soini P. 1988. The tamarins, genus Saguinus.
  In: Mittermeier RA, Rylands AB, Coimbra-Filho AF, Fonseca GAB, eds. Ecology and behavior of Neotropical primates, Vol.
  2. Washington, DC: World Wildlife Fund, 223–298.
- Soini P. 1987. Ecology of the saddle-back tamarin Saguinus fuscicollis illigeri on the Río Pacaya, northeastern Peru. Folia Primatologica 49: 11–32.
- Soini P. 1990. Nota sobre el hallazgo de una subespecie adicional de Saguinus fuscicollis (Primates, Callitrichidae). In: Proyecto Peruano de Primatológia, ed. La Primatología en el Perú. Lima, Peru: Proyecto Peruano de Primatología, 314– 317.
- Soini P, Soini M. 1990. Distribución geografia y ecología poblacional de Saguinus mystax (Primates, Callitrichidae). In: Proyecto Peruano de Primatológia, ed. La Primatología en el Perú. Lima, Peru: Proyecto Peruano de Primatología, 272–313.
- Springer MS, Meredith RW, Gatesy J, Emerling CA, Park J, Rabosky DL, Stadler T, Steiner C, Ryder OA, Janečka JE, Fisher CA, Murphy WJ. 2012. Macroevolutionary dynamics and historical biogeography of primate diversification inferred from a species supermatrix. *PLoS ONE* 7: e49521.
- Tagliaro CH, Schneider H, Sampaio I, Schneider MPC, Vallinoto M, Stanhope M. 2005. Molecular phylogeny of the genus Saguinus (Platyrrhini, Primates) based on the ND1 mitochondrial gene and implications for conservation. Genetics and Molecular Biology 28: 46–53.
- **Terborgh J. 1983.** Five New World primates. A study in comparative ecology. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Terborgh J, Goldizen AW. 1985. On the mating system of the cooperatively breeding saddle-back tamarin (Saguinus fuscicollis). Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 16: 293– 299.
- Thomas O. 1922. On the systematic arrangement of the marmosets. Annals and Magazine of Natural History, 9th series, 9: 196–199.
- **Thorington RW Jr. 1976.** The systematics of New World monkeys. First Interamerican Conference on Conservation and Utilization of American Nonhuman Primates in Biome-

dical Research. Washington, DC: Pan American Health Organization (PAHO), 8–18.

- Thorington RW Jr. 1988. Taxonomic status of Saguinus tripartitus (Milne-Edwards, 1878). American Journal of Primatology 15: 367–371.
- **Tirado Herrera ER, Knogge C, Heymann EW. 2000.** Infanticide in a group of wild saddle-back tamarins, *Saguinus fuscicollis. American Journal of Primatology* **50:** 153–157.
- Trouessart E-L. 1904. Catalogus Mammalium. Quinquennale Supplementum. Berlin: R. Friedlander & Sohn.
- Vallinoto M, Araripe J, do Rego PS, Tagliaro CH, Sampaio I, Schneider H. 2006. Tocantins River as an effective barrier to gene flow in *Saguinus niger* populations. *Genetics* and Molecular Biology 29: 215–219.
- Vieira CC. 1955. Lista remissiva dos mamíferos do Brasil. Arquivos de Zoologia, São Paulo 8: 341–474.

- Voss RS, Lunde DP, Simmons NB. 2001. Mammals of Paracou, French Guiana, a Neotropical lowland rainforest fauna. Part 2. Nonvolant species. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 263: 1–236.
- Wagner JA. 1839. Die Säugthiere in Abbildungen nach des Natur mit Beschreibungen von Dr. Johann Christian Daniel von Schreber. Supplementband 1: Die Affen und Flederthiere. Erlangen: Palm'sche Verlagsbuchhandlung.
- Yoneda M. 1981. Ecological studies of Saguinus fuscicollis and Saguinus labiatus with reference to habitat segregation and height preference. Kyoto University Overseas Research Reports of New World Monkeys 1981: 43–50.
- Yoneda M. 1984. Comparative studies on vertical separation, foraging behavior and traveling mode of saddle-backed tamarins (*Saguinus fuscicollis*) and red-chested moustached tamarins (*Saguinus labiatus*) in northern Bolivia. *Primates* 25: 414–422.

# SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's website:

Table S1. Ecological and behavioural field studies of white-mouthed tamarins.

Table S2. Ecological and behavioural field studies of large-bodied tamarins.